

and arguments. How odd! A pretty touch he gives, and attempts to draw a bow at a venture, when he adds: "They should now take heart of grace, and abandon a cause that can only entail upon them hopeless burdens and disappointments." What these are we are not aware,—we have yet to learn that our Anti-Union people have grumbled for any burden—have regretted any disappointment. The only burden that they regret is that their property should be wrenched from the Church of their fathers, and that they too long put trust in the sincerity and upright dealings of those who called themselves by the name of the Lord Jesus. The Expositor of the Pretensions of Lang, Burnet & Co. flies off at a tangent, and berates the firm for aspersing the good name of Dr. Snodgrass. Calls their recent action a contemptible trick, the atrociousness of which was only equalled by its impotency. The tailors of Tooley Street are evoked to shed lustre on the paper of the pamphleteer and to help him to utter his mirth-provoking sentences at the malicious rascality of the firm. The climax is capped in hearing Mr. Robert Campbell stand up for the credit of the parent Church, "for whose good name I have inherited from my fathers a chivalrous regard." Singular exhibition of it truly. Credit of the parent Church!—ashamed of her time-honored name, and lends a hand to blot it out. "Chivalrous regard from my fathers." Bah! The chivalry which plays into the hand of the enemy, and which delivers up the fortresses and bulwarks of the Church to the keeping of hostiles.

Mr. Robert Campbell says: "It would be doing violence to ecclesiastical regularity, to the Legislatures and Courts of Canada, and to the past history of Presbyterianism in the Dominion, to concede to Messrs. Lang, Burnet & Co., that they are the right and lawful continuation of the Presbyterian Church of Canada in connection with the Church of Scotland."

Again,—“The Presbyterian Church in Canada” now is legally and constitutionally the “Presbyterian Church of Canada in connection with the Church of Scotland.” “The name alone is changed.” The Expositor of the Pretensions, we fear, exposes his own ignorance both of law and usage when he affirms that