law of England? He took conscience and the Bible as his guide irrespective of the law of his country, and when he was nominated and appointed President of the Wesleyan Conference, there was not found in the whole body one who objected on the ground that Dr. Punshon was an immoral man. But aside from the question of morality, Dr. Sangster is the 'eachers' candidate, and has the right to be elected as their representative. As a teacher he stands unrivalled. He has no equal in this Dominion, and it is doubtful if there can be found an educator on the Continent who has done so much for both teachers and pupils as Dr. Sangster.—Brantford Evening Currier.

The scandals of the Globe are neither few nor far between. There appears to be a genius in that establishment, whose sole object in the world is—not to get well out of it through the pulpit—but to discover the earrion of society, and to feast on what it proclaims to be putrid offal. One public man after another comes in for the blacking-brush operation, and in this delightful employment week after week, and column after column, are devoted to the dirty business, till people become disgusted, and out of sheer sympathy with the victim, those who were opponents are made warm friends of the injured ones. That this is the case in reference to Dr. Sangster none will deny. There are probably not more than five Grits in the County of Oxford, with heads thick enough and hearts hard enough to believe one tithe of what has appeared in the Globe against that gentleman for the past three months, or since the Pacific Scandal furnished a theme for the literary vulture of the establishment. As we have held ourselves aloof from the controvesy till the teachers of the county had pronounced their judgment in the case, we have now no hesitation in joining in the approval of the verdict that was pronounced by that intelligent body of educators of the youth of our country.— Woodstock Times.

The savage and continued onslaught upon Dr. Saugster by the Globe, to which we alluded in our last issue is still kept up with all the venom and viruience which that unscrupilous journal ever displays when hounding down an opponent. The press, at first misled by the specious and circumstantial faishoods of the Globe, and disposed to join in the outery against him, is beginning to take a more just and reasonable view of the case as the real facts become known, and many journals of pronounced Reform tendencies are taking the Doctor's part. The people of Canada will not allow any more of the most talented and able men of the country to be hounded from public life to gratify the jealous spite of medicore and stupid ignoramuses who cny them their superior attaluments and popularity. The article in last Saturday's issue is one of the weakest on the question that has yet appeared. Like the Globe's former efforts it is vehement and reckless in assertions, scurrious in tone, but illogical in argument. The most remarkable feature of this series of articles is the effrontery with which they clamor for facts, evidence and documents to be produced in defence of Dr. Sangster, while they themselves have not produced one tittle of proof against him. They adduce nothing but mere assertions, based on the statements of interested parties and the enemies of Dr. Sangster, and then expect that the country will receive their simple into a district of the production of documents. What "documents" have interpreted parties and the enemies of Dr. Sangster, but such is not the Globe's idea of justice, it would impose the burden of proving himself innocent on the accused. Elsewhere we publish extracts from a number of our contempories of all shades of politics condemning the Globe's course in the strongest language. The list might have been largely increased, as many other papers besides those we have quoted, take equally strong ground in favour of the Doctor. We have dwelt thus fully upon the circumstances brought to our notice in connect

r. 1n is

in ne

> ne ve

se a of

ry no gmed alat,

sh od ife

vn ed on. ry It is very easy to see that the Globe's abuse of Dr. Sangster was not prompted by any desire to promote public morality, but, on the contrary, to serve its own ends, and to ruin the subject of its virulent attacks. We are of opinion that the malignity of the Globe towards Dr. Sangster has not in the remotest degree injured his chance of election, but has rather improved it. Its vile tirades and infamous slanders, its lying, and the base motives which prompted it to attack him in such an unscrupulous manner cannot injure Dr. Sangster in the estimation of the majority of the Public School Teachers of the Province, who, we are satisfied, will demonstrate this by electing him in August next.—Kingston Daily News.

The action thus taken might alone furnish presumptive evidence that the Globe's denunciations are as unjust as they are violent, and that, wherever the real facts of the case are known,