far beyond the field of education in the formal sense. Here it is enough to repeat that, largely because of this failure, modern democracy has hardly begun to solve its real problem; since neither in the individual life nor in the life and culture of society as a whole has it succeeded in integrating the Useful and the Satisfying; the Necessary and the Fine; the Vocational and the Human; the Specialist and the Man.

Spurious solutions are around us in plenty. Among them one might mention Efficiency, the ideal of triumphant techniques: "Service," offered usually only in return for a dividend, and combining, often unpleasantly, the lubricating grease of business with the treacle of sentimentality—even at its best its weakness is apparent in its vagueness; then the ideal of the "Good Mixer," in which I feel at times the philosophy of Professor Dewey seems to culminate; or again, the ideal of Conventional Conformity of the "Hundred-Per-Center," which, one might gather, is satisfying to so many.

The real inadequacy of them all is evident in the vast reservoir of dissatisfaction that they leave behind, like a lake at the foot of a glacier. The lake is now growing turbid and agitated and threatens to give rise to a torrent. Its presence and the menace of it is the measure of our problem; a problem of education through and through since the threat comes not from an outside source at all, but from the bewildered minds and consciences of men and women who feel themselves betraved by the old gods, yet need strength and guidance in the painful task of finding more satisfying objects of devotion.

Note again, then, how typical and representative our problem of nursing education is, set in the midst of a society where men are in danger of losing their souls in a vain effort to gain the world. Nursing, with the intense humanity of its mission, the wide diversity of its contacts with the life of men, and the combined concentration and sympathy that it calls for in those who practise it: is any profession more concerned with the supreme task of keeping body and soul together in much more than a

merely physical sense?

So the claims of nursing education offer a most favourable ground for testing out the validity of our principles. To that task we will now proceed—the consideration of the education of nurses as a model for the whole problem of an integrated education that will keep body and soul together, unify life and vocation, and build a well-proportioned scheme of values so as to guarantee richness of life without prejudicing wholeness and effectiveness.

First, then, as to objectives. The chaos about aims which now characterises the educational field is but a reflection of the wider chaos that is paralysing Western civilisation as whole. We seem to be passing through the profoundest moral and spiritual crisis that mankind has experienced since Greek times, and no man can say what will issue from it. I do not propose to go into its causes: they are a matter for the interpreter of modern history. Nor do I doubt that we shall come through: Western civilisation is not going to collapse. Here, however, I ask you merely to take note of the fact itself, patent as it is to us all.

A solution of our deep and painful perplexities cannot come wholly from the educational end. But it must, very largely, begin there, and it can hardly come at all unless those who have charge of education achieve a pretty clear consciousness of the direction in which a solution is to be sought. The burden of the pioneer and the scout is thrown upon the educator today as never before. He cannot escape the responsibility for a leading part in the drastic revision and re-integration of Values that is called for, and in the building up of those stable and adequate Standards that we so sorely need. Even so, his power may not be equal to his vision;