

address  
N. Atherton  
Tall Mall SW

My dear Sir Arthur Currier

My dear Sir Arthur,

I am in receipt of your letter about the Department and psychology and Dr Hickson and so on. You will know of course that I <sup>take</sup> think this in the right spirit, and that I am touched to the quick by your frank, kind monitory words. I think that you know how readily I will rally to the intimation and the reminder from you my Chief and my friend.

You say that <sup>we</sup> you must <sup>face</sup> state the fact that the Department of Philosophy is now and has been for some years in a very unsatisfactory state. <sup>And</sup> you open by saying that one of the first things you <sup>have</sup> ~~have~~ was about a desire to divide the Department of Philosophy and the Department of Psychology.

(1) There is a broad and an age-long issue here, <sup>nothing</sup> ~~none~~ ~~the~~ less than the complete freedom of a science from any domination by philosophy or religion ~~and~~ so on. This has resulted in most Universities <sup>in</sup> ~~that~~ Psychology <sup>being</sup> ~~be~~ put entirely on its own feet - independent of Philosophy or absolutely independent in the philosophical Department. This issue is not touched by your next sentence "I could see no necessity for such an action in <sup>a</sup> the University <sup>edu</sup> of ours nor do I see any necessity at the present time." I myself after a long reflection on this subject, a knowledge of what is done in other Universities, and also in view of the ~~cur~~ situation am

W. Sill