

Hon. Mr. GRAHAM:

1. The Canadian National management state that the only wainscoting removed consisted of some mahogany from former Jockey Club premises, which is being held for the Canadian National offices. All bath, lavatory, lighting and other fixtures were removed by the original proprietors. Canadian National Railways have sold some old radiation pipes, flooring and boards, for which 32,500 francs were received. All other salvage material was turned over to Ruhl Company people under their lease.

2. There were no salvaging expenses. The work was done by purchaser and 32,500 francs was net to Canadian National Railways.

3. Rent earned last year, 228,000 francs.

	francs
Property taxes	200,000
Insurance	5,600
*Operating expenses disbursed through Messrs. Viard & Dastugue, architects	265,000
Paid Dion Bouton for cancellation of lease	175,000
Watchman, electricity, water, gas and miscellaneous expense	6,200
Interest at 5 per cent on capital investment of 40,767,676 francs.	2,038,383
	2,690,183

=at exchange rate of 5.20 . . . \$139,889 51

* Note by management:—Excluding 180,000 francs for taxes which is mostly recoverable on account of building being largely unoccupied. The annual rent under the lease to the Ruhl Company will be 2,400,000 francs. As normal carrying charges will consist only of interest on investment, or 2,038,383 francs per annum, the annual rent income will leave a substantial surplus, and in addition, the Railway is to receive free rental of office space which has an estimated rental value of \$15,000 per annum.

No. 1 ELEVATOR VANCOUVER

Hon. Mr. STEVENS:

1. Who prepared plans for the extension to No. 1 Elevator, Vancouver, and what was the engineer's estimate of cost?

2. Were tenders called for the erection of said extension, and if so, in what manner?

3. How many firms tendered, and what are the names of such firms or individuals?

4. What was the amount of the lowest tender?

5. At what figure was the contract awarded, and to whom?

6. What is the total cost to date, based on engineer's approved certificates?

7. How much has actually been paid to said contractor?

8. Has contract been completed? If not, what still remains unfinished?

Hon. Mr. CARDIN:

1. (a) John S. Metcalf & Co. Ltd. (b) Foundations \$55,000, including engineering fees;

superstructure, \$359,000, not including engineering fees; electrical substation, \$55,020, including engineering fees.

2. Tenders were called for the foundations by advertisements in the daily papers of Vancouver inserted as follows: Vancouver Sun, Vancouver World, Vancouver Province, 3 days each. No tenders called for superstructure.

3. Five firms tendered on the foundations as follows: Cotton Company, Limited; Pacific Construction Co. Ltd.; McQueen Construction Co. Ltd.; Paulsen Company; Nickson Construction Co. No tenders called on superstructure.

4. Cotton Company at \$36,715, low tender on foundations.

5. \$42,807 on foundations to the Pacific Construction Co. Ltd.; superstructure awarded to Pacific Construction Co. Ltd. on a basis of 10 per cent on cost, fee not to exceed \$35,000. Fee was based on estimate cost of \$359,000. If cost was less than \$359,000, contractors were to receive 5 per cent of difference between cost and \$359,000. Contractor's equipment was on the ground for the foundations, and inasmuch as speed was essential award was made accordingly.

6. 699,238.26.

7. \$583,258.52.

8. Yes.

MR. COLIN MCKENZIE

Mr. DOUCET:

1. Was Mr. Colin McKenzie, barrister of Sydney, Nova Scotia, a representative of the Dominion, in England, during 1924?

2. If so, what department was he representing and on what mission?

3. How long was he so employed and at what salary?

4. What was the total cost of disbursements (a) salary, and (b) expenses?

Hon. Mr. MACDONALD (Pictou):

1. No.

2, 3 and 4. Answered by No. 1.

SECRETARY OF TREASURY BOARD

*Mr. GARLAND (Bow River):

1. Is the Deputy Minister of Finance also Secretary of the Treasury board?

2. If so, does the item of \$5,000 in the main estimates for the Secretary of the Treasury board mean that the salary of the Deputy Minister of Finance is to be \$15,000 for the next fiscal year?

Hon. Mr. ROBB: The answer to the first question is, Yes; and the answer to the second question is, Not necessarily so.

DAIRY CONFERENCE, 1923

Mr. CARROLL:

What are the names of the delegates from the Maritime provinces who attended the Dairy conference held in Ottawa by the Department of Agriculture during November, 1923, and what amount was paid to each by the department, as expenses?