

Here too, perhaps, Mr. Mitchell invokes the aid of the figure which his clergyman did when he discovered Mr. Johnston "reaching" for the plate of tokens "with the eye" "if not with the hand."

Further, Mr. Mitchell is asked what was the most outrageous piece of conduct on the part of the Plaintiff at the meeting of the 4th November, 1872.

Answer—In standing up and asking Mr. Lang why the 'Presbyterian' was not delivered in the pews the day previous, and on Mr. Lang saying that they had not been received. Mr. Johnston pointing his finger at him and asking him Mr. Lang if he was sure that he was stating what was correct as regards the delivery of the paper as he knew for a fact that the paper had been delivered on the previous Saturday and that the non-delivery in the pews was for a purpose, that he had it in proof in his pocket in black and white that the paper had been delivered on Saturday." This is a considerably modified statement of the occurrences as narrated by Defendant and Mr. Dennistoun. It shews that the discussion was of an interrogatory character and when discounted to make allowances for the disposition of the witness to magnify the Plaintiff's offending is a strong confirmation of the short hand notes of Mr. Hutchison which after all must be the great guide to the court in this matter.

Most of the Defendants witnesses if not all have admitted that they had forgotten the order of events at the meeting of the 4th November. Mr. Hutchison wrote out his notes immediately after that meeting. He is an experienced Stenographer, has been an officer of this Court, and one of the official Stenographers on Royal Commission which recently sat at Ottawa. His