

each side of the road for its entire length? On what basis could we ask them to do anything more?

HON. MR. MCINNES—Why use that word contiguous?

HON. SIR ALEX. CAMPBELL—That is, contiguous lands. We could not have the whole breadth of the land in British Columbia, and it turned out there was a deficit because lands had been granted, or otherwise parted with to the extent of 500,000 acres. We were to get in lieu of that 500,000 acres of land as near as possible to that locality.

HON. MR. MACDONALD—That is a matter of agreement.

HON. SIR ALEX. CAMPBELL—A matter of agreement, but there is no provision that we should have land below the terminus. It would be just as absurd as that we should ask for land in some other part of the country altogether. Then the hon. gentleman says that they propose to construct the line farther on and go down to Coal Harbor and that they are getting money from the country to do that. Now they are not getting money from the country to do that.

HON. MR. MCINNES—My amendment does not state anything about going beyond Port Moody, but my motion is that the Government carry out their contract to build the terminal buildings at Port Moody and then they can extend it if they please, but with their own money.

HON. SIR ALEX. CAMPBELL—The hon. gentleman said this: the country is going to lend them money to construct a line from Port Moody westwards.

HON. MR. MCINNES—So they are.

HON. SIR ALEX. CAMPBELL—The hon. gentleman repeats it. The country is not doing anything of the kind. The country will have completed their bargain when they get the road finished to Port Moody, and they are not lending this company any money to go beyond Port Moody. In the statement he has read, it is true the company show what they are

going to do with the money, but he cannot say because they put that item in that it shows any more conclusively that this railway is going to be built by us or at our expense than by putting an item in for railway cars he could say that the country is going to pay for the rolling stock. They are going to spend \$1,000,000 in new railway cars, for instance. Those cars are not to belong to the Government. The Government will not have anything to say about them; neither will the Government have anything to do with the building of the road between Port Moody and Coal Harbor, nor will they have anything to say about it, nor will they own it. The company, in their statement, show, in a general way, why they are in want of money, how much they want, and what they are going to do with it when they get it. Now, to say that they are going to build this extension from Port Moody to Coal Harbor out of this \$5,000,000, is incorrect. In the next place, the money will be theirs when we lend it to them, and they will be obliged to repay it, and they will repay it next July; but to say, in the first place, that it is their money, and then to say that they must not lay it out as they please, is exceedingly contradictory. When it is loaned, it is not the money of the Government at all. Our whole duty will have been discharged when the road is completed to Port Moody. We do not propose to give this company any money whatever, and there is not a syllable in this Bill about expending the money we are loaning them west of the terminus, and that is the suggestion which the hon. gentleman makes—that this money is going to be expended west of the terminus; that it is public money, and that it is in the statement, which is a statement of the company showing what they propose to do, but it is not with our money, but with their own money, after they raise it. They show the way that they are going to spend the \$15,000,000, when they get it, but it is not the Government's \$15,000,000, it is the company's \$15,000,000, and if they make a mistake in spending it, it is their, and not our, mistake, and we have ample security for it. He says that in this agreement we ought, perhaps, to prevent the company from building any water tank, or anything of that kind, on the west side of Port