

last summer, and for reasons which the hon. gentleman could see in their report. The increase of salary was also made on the recommendation of the Commissioners, and he had already saved more than two years' salary in orders for clothing. Although cloth and clothing had been ordered by the old Warden, the new one found enough on hand to last for two or three years.

Mr. DOMVILLE said he had not seen the report of the Commissioners, but the community in general did not believe that the removal was made in consequence of any dereliction of duty or incapacity on the part of the old Warden; it was looked upon as a removal for purely political reasons.

Hon. Mr. MACKENZIE said it was out of order to discuss the question in this way on concurrence. The hon. member should move for the papers, and on receiving them bring the matter before the House.

Hon. Mr. MITCHELL admitted that would be the strictly Parliamentary course, but reminded the Premier that a great deal of latitude in discussion had always been allowed on concurrence. The Government should not keep hon. members to the strict letter of the rule in discussing matters of this kind; the late Government had not done so.

Hon. Mr. MACKENZIE said he had always been careful when in opposition to state when in committee he would discuss certain items on concurrence. He did not wish to prevent the discussion now, but merely to point out the proper mode of bringing the matter before the House.

Mr. DEVEBER concurred in all that the Minister of Customs had said, and more too. If the Government had investigated the matter they would not only have removed the old warden, but also taken away his allowance. The public were satisfied that the Government were justified in removing him.

The item was concurred in.

Items 29 to 43, inclusive, were concurred in.

On item 44,

Hon. Mr. TUPPER asked when the census returns would be published. Unless they appeared within a reasonable time after the taking of the census, the object of the work would be defeated.

Hon. Mr. Burpee.

Hon. Mr. MACKENZIE replied that the officer in charge of the Census Department said the returns were now in the hands of the printers, and he expected in a short time to be able to give the results of the census.

The item was concurred in.

On item 45,

Mr. CURRIER asked whether \$40,000 would meet all the expenses that would attend a proper representation of Canada at the Centennial Exhibition at Philadelphia. It appeared to him to be a very small sum for the purpose.

Hon. Mr. MACKENZIE said that depended entirely upon the extent of the plan which might be adopted. That was in course of preparation now, and he could not say what amount would be required. He might say, however, that he did not think this sum would suffice.

Mr. YOUNG said if Canada was to put in an appearance at all at the exhibition, we should make a creditable appearance. He was not an advocate of lavish expenditure, but he thought it would be a great mistake not to vote enough to make such an appearance as would attract the attention of European visitors.

Hon. Mr. MACKENZIE observed that from all he could learn since the vote had been passed in the committee, they would require at least \$100,000.

Mr. CURRIER thought that \$150,000 or \$200,000 would be little enough to do credit to this country. If we were to put in an appearance at all, we should do it with credit to ourselves.

Hon. Mr. MACKENZIE — It is expected that the Local Legislatures will aid to some extent.

Mr. CURRIER—Even if they do, more will be required than appears in the Estimates.

Item concurred in.

It being six o'clock the SPEAKER left the chair.

—:++:—

AFTER RECESS

Hon. Mr. CARTWRIGHT again moved the House into Committee of Supply.

Hon. Mr. TUPPER said—Mr. SPEAKER: the hon. Minister of Finance in replying to the observations which I addressed to the House upon the speech which he delivered in submitting the budget took the