

reorganizing, this party plans to focus on voter contact and volunteers, because, as Mr. Ross Reid said: "If we win, success is not in this building, success is in the field."

The second meeting of the day was one of the most interesting in whole of our trip. That was the meeting with separatist party Block Québécois and their representative Mr. Daniel Turp. Everything that happened in former Yugoslavia is very similar to the current events in Quebec. The meeting was too short to ask Mr. Turp all questions we had. He was unable to explain to us the real reasons for separation nor offer any concrete solutions for problems, which will appear after separation (if so). These problems are problems for a large percentage of people who want to stay within Canada (Franco phones, Anglophones and Aborigines) and problems of French minority which would stay in some ways unprotected in the rest of Canada.

After lunch, we split into two groups. The first group had a meeting with Aborigine representatives from the First Nations of Canada. This was an opportunity for the aborigine to inform us about status of Indians and the level of respect for their human rights.

The second group visited the School of Journalism at Carleton University. We saw extremely well equipped classrooms in which new TV and radio journalists are educated. Also, we saw whole complex of the University, which was the size of a average city in Republic of Srpska. That gave us an idea for the Canadian Center for Development of Foreign Policy to organize cooperation between Canadian and Bosnian universities, and to help modernizing our universities. This should be a part of whole Bosnian reconstruction process.

Day 5

We appeared as witnesses before the Parliamentary Committee for Foreign Affairs, chaired by Bill Graham, MP. We were able to discuss issues of engagement of Canada in BiH. Committees are usual things in the Canadian political system. The committee is responsible for discussing issues which are put in front of them by the Ministry which need further investigation. The committee was a good example for us of what a multiparty system should look like-given its membership was comprised of representatives from all political parties. It also demonstrated to us the level of transparency of government which provides the possibility for citizens to be involved in solving important issues.

We visited the Supreme Court of Canada, which decides important legal issues. The Canadian court system differs from ours, and that was why we were interested in see how it functioned. We got information about cases that appear in front of this court as well as about court procedures. What we heard in trainings with Sarah we could finally see in practice – Democracy is system which requires a series of checks and balances within the system.

It is interesting that the court is due to answer any questions asked by Parliament. In that case the court has to define the constitutional right of Quebec for separation. The court's decision will be final. On the same day we visited state TV – CBC. Even