Air Traffic Controllers

amendment in its present form, after these arguments, I wish to warn the committee not to consider the acceptance of this amendment as an open door to any kind of other amendments, especially on the first point basing ourselves on the wide scope of a Royal recommendation. Therefore I am accepting the amendment, and I will put it to the committee.

Mr. Broadbent: Mr. Chairman, may I rise on a point of order?

The Chairman: Does the hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby wish to speak on the amendment? I have to put the amendment. Does he wish to speak on the procedural debate?

Mr. Broadbent: Mr. Chairman, I rise on a point of order and in so doing I want to indicate to the spokesman for the conservative party that we have every intention of supporting what we regard as a very sensible and just amendment. I am rising simply to indicate that we have prepared an amendment which might more adequately come to grips with the same problem. It happens to come earlier in the bill, and I should like to put it before the committee. Then the Chairman can rule whichever way he wants in regard to having the vote on one rather than the other. Our amendment comes earlier in the same clause. I should like to move it now, and then it will be up to the Chair to decide whether we should vote on the one which comes first in terms of time or on the one that comes first in terms of sequence of the bill.

The Chairman: Order, please. The hon. member should allow the chair to put the amendment moved by the hon. member for Vancouver South in front of the committee. The way is open for him to present another amendment. Normally his amendment would be voted upon first if it is listed before or if it refers to a previous paragraph of the same clause. However, I will have to look at his amendment and decide upon it at that time. Moving away from our normal procedure and knowing there is another amendment to be presented by the hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby, I hope the committee will bear with me as I invite the hon. member to present his amendment in order for me to decide which amendment will be considered first, and then I will ask the committee to make its decision on either one of the two.

Mr. Broadbent moved:

That paragraph (a) of subclause (3) of clause 5 be amended by adding immediately thereafter, at the end of line 5 on page 3 of Bill C-63, the following words:

"provided, however, that the matter of increasing these rates of pay to amounts not greater than the minimum proposals made by the employee organization shall be referred to an independent arbitrator whose findings shall be binding with effect from January 1, 1977."

If I may speak to the amendment, I want to return to—

Some hon. Members: Order!

The Chairman: I thought the hon. member's amendment, although it was in regard to the same clause, might have a different implication than the amendment presented by the hon. member for Vancouver South. Because of the fact that [The Chairman.]

both amendments try to achieve about the same thing, a decision will have to be made by the committee on the first amendment moved by the hon. member for Vancouver South.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

The Chairman: Does the hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby wish to rise on a point of order regarding this matter?

Mr. Broadbent: No, I do not wish to rise on a point of order. I assume we are continuing the debate.

The Chairman: The hon. President of the Privy Council.

Mr. MacEachen: Mr. Chairman, might I suggest to the committee that under the provisions of Standing Order 6(5) we interrupt the proceedings to ask for an extension of the sitting of the House for the purpose of further consideration of this bill?

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

The Chairman: Order, please. In view of the request of the hon. minister, it is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 6(5)(a), to interrupt the proceedings of the committee of the whole in order to permit the House to make a decision on the motion proposed by the hon. minister.

Progress reported.

Mr. Ralph E. Goodale (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the request which has just been made by the President of the Privy Council (Mr. MacEachen), I should like to move, pursuant to Standing Order 6(5):

That this House continue sitting beyond the ordinary time of daily adjournment this day for the purpose of continuing the consideration of Bill C-63, an act to provide for the continuation of air traffic control services.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please. All hon. members are aware of Standing Order 6(5) which relates to such a motion to extend the hours of sitting for the purpose of considering a piece of legislation or an order that is under consideration. Upon putting the question, the Chair shall inform hon. members, as mentioned in paragraph (b) of the Standing Order, that those members who object to the motion shall rise in their place. If ten members or more rise, the motion shall be deemed to have been withdrawn. Otherwise, the motion shall have been adopted. The hon. member for Grenville-Carleton (Mr. Baker) on a point of order.

• (2150)

Mr. Baker (Grenville-Carleton): I have listened very carefully, Mr. Speaker, and I thought I caught correctly the words of the motion put by the parliamentary secretary which were broad and all-encompassing, and could be deemed to carry with them committee stage and third reading to be completed this evening. I should like the assurance that, while this