

Supply—Citizenship and Immigration

month basis under a five year contract. He had to pay his passage back, and the costs involved were \$5,000; and during the five years of his contract he was paying \$30 a month.

This is not a case of a kind old neighbour bringing one of his friends or relations from China. It is an organized machine, and the Canadian public should be told this machine exists. The public should be asked for support in seeing it is curtailed, and I suggest we should make it very plain both here and through our officials in Hong Kong that we are not going to tolerate it. The department should conduct a large scale advertising campaign to tell the Chinese people that if they go to these schools in China, from which they are sent to Canada, they will be deported.

Deportation should not apply to those already here. All I ask of them is to help us straighten the mess out, so that we will be able to decide who they really are, and clean up the whole rotten mess. Many of these people were brought here under highly criminal circumstances, and most of them have been the innocent victims of a machine that works to their disadvantage and to the disadvantage of the Canadian immigration policy.

I know the R.C.M.P. have information on many of these orders in council, but I also know that when they go into a file and discover an order in council they are told to lay off. This may be all right. I am not interested in having anybody prosecuted or persecuted, but I think it would be wise to go into some of these orders in council through the agency of the R.C.M.P. It is not good enough to ask a Chinese seeking the benefit of the amnesty to make a confession and disclose how he came into the country. He will not tell that; but we have other ways of finding out how the machine works and putting a stop to it.

Many Chinese who come to Canada are in their teens and they will make good citizens. It is only the rare exception who has turned out bad, and though it is true that in the main Chinese have not got involved in civic affairs, certainly they have always been willing to assume responsibility when called upon to do so. I have been very impressed with the Chinese I have met, ever since I became interested in this question. Four years ago when the amnesty was announced I did not believe fully in the sincerity of it, but the successive ministers since then have convinced me that it is solely for the purpose outlined, and so I have been very happy to work with the Chinese communities and with the immigration officers.

It is unfortunate when I find a senior civil servant not willing to co-operate. However this has not affected me and probably has not affected him. Certainly it has not affected the other officials in the department with whom I work. Those Chinese who are in Canada legally should ask questions of members of parliament. These Chinese are wondering if this is the proper time to come forward and declare themselves. A few weeks ago the minister scared the pants off them when he said the amnesty was coming to an end. Applications flooded in, but there are still some who are reluctant to come forward, and members of parliament could do a great service for them.

I suggest we make it perfectly clear to would-be Chinese immigrants that we will not tolerate future illegal entries. If we do this, and later a Chinese boy goes to a school in Canton, where he is given a new identity, shipped to Hong Kong and then transported to Canada, knowing full well he is breaking the law, then he will deserve to be returned to China. To do this the minister will have to undertake extensive publicity in Hong Kong and on the mainland to show that we are overhauling our immigration law to close the loopholes that have existed until now.

In my opinion the Conservative government and its ministers of immigration should be thanked for stopping the order in council system. That system was one of the worst ways of making exceptions to the law. The law should be changed, and I suggest members of parliament be consulted. I suggest a small committee should go into this matter and it should also give consideration to the problems of ratios which have been raised by members from Quebec. These problems will have to be met and we must devise an immigration policy that will facilitate the entrance of desirable immigrants.

I believe we will be obliged very shortly to consider the need to obtain increased immigration and we shall have to be very charitable in considering those countries from which the immigration will come. Why would anybody leave France to come to Canada? Why would anybody leave Germany today to come to Canada? Why would anybody immigrate from Holland to Canada? Why should people wish to leave most of the European countries, particularly those which are now prospering under the European common market and where the employment rate is extremely high? What do we ask of immigrants from those countries? We ask that they be highly skilled. Moreover they