red that you would ehave to me, or that

t to bribe you with ithout a particle of ything you know of nal opinion ever had id quite contrary to dnesday and Friday the continuation of oth parties, and my us to do so consis-I simply meant our

t I did not suppose eason, in my calling that on one subject, connected with that wholly by impulse e ashamed of having ion, your letter of the writings which ou have published. kguard, or Ruffian, and if others were all it so, the mere

why all this was our friends did not etual violations of s of Society? On nswer that can be limentary to you, on for their failing this respect, even ibours who became mselves. And for it was from the own self-respect, that should have for if by God's fect, I feel that no you before it is consequences to set free from the mple and truthful ength for the calls

of your profession, will be explanation enough to all of your giving up every thing but your profession. (L) While by your simply withdrawing your letter to me, (and without ony sacrifice of feeling to you) you have the best opportunity to make the amende honorable to all your other friends (N) (of whose views and feelings I feel sure mine may be held the representative) whom you have so grievously injured, whether intentionally or not. They will be but too happy to adopt my friendly theory of the cause of your conduct. (O.)

"I shall have the deepest regret if by not withdrawing your letter, you render it impossible for me ever again to address

" Yours faithfully.

(Signed) " ISAAC BUCHANAN. " C. D. REID, Esq.,"

That letter is a most laboured but wholly ineffectual effort of Isaac Buchanan to get rid of his filthy garments, and put them upon me. His audacious insolence in calling himself my friend in the face of it, and his two former letters is astonishing. last letter is a stream of abuse of me, and will be (to borrow his friendly and kindly opinion of me, expressed therein) 'regarded as the production of the Blackguard or Ruffian, or at least of the

The following are a few remarks upon the principal points

thereof, namely :-

Note A. This is manifestly absurd, as he could have kept my letter, and not have shewn it to any one, there being no necessity for his so doing. And I point to his whole letter and to his second letter as affording indubitable evidence that he opened and read my answer to his first letter.

(B.) Most ample "reason" in his disgraceful conduct described

in my first letter.

This is peculiarly savoury.

(C.) I have been several times informed of a report of a like nature which has been industriously circulated by these creatures so as if possible to avoid the effects of their assault of me, and which very grossly false and absurd assertion Isaac Buchanan here shews himself to be the father of. It is somewhat strange that "every one sees" that the same is "proving fatal to me," when it is well known that after being land up for some months from the "effects" of their assault of me, I am sufficiently recovered and was so at that time—to be able occasionally to go to my office.

(D.) "The obvious urgency of the case" he here directly infers to be the near approach of my death from the "effects" of the "monomania," whereas, in reality it was the bringing of my said

(E.) "Grieved." That is too greasy.