lo. 6.

f the Report

d Lodge has Chancellors goma Lodge,

its appreciaeep regret at sefulness and

nd Lodge be well as to the

is hereby exer Taylor has of this Grand ge as eviden-

No. 25. M. of E. o. 6.

mmittee on rt :— REPORT No. 3 OF THE COMMITTEE ON CREDENTIALS AND RETURNS.

Your Committee on Credentials and Returns to whom was referred the semi-annual reports of lodges, beg leave to report that we have carefully examined said returns and submit the following result of our labors, which we hope will be the means of having more care taken in their preparation in the future than what has been done in the past. Some of the reports do not reflect much credit upon the auditors of the lodges:

Mystic Lodge, No. 1:

1891

1st report received July 12th, 1890. No date, signatures of officers or seal attached; retiring officers not given.

2nd report received January 27th, 1891. Correct.

Myrtle Lodge, No. 2:

Ist report received July 8th, 1890. Retiring officers not given; E. J. Helyer reported as a suspended P.C., but no previous record of service.

2nd report received February 7th, 1891. Number of members last report brought forward as 33 instead of 34, being an error of one member and making 70 cents short in per capita tax; only one representative reported.

Red Cross Lodge, No. 3:

1st report received July 7th, 1890. Retiring officers not given and an error of \$30.00 in giving amount of expenses.

2nd report received January 2nd, 1891. Error in reporting a suspended member as a Knight instead of a Past Chancellor; wrong totals given under head of expenditure.

Ivanhoe Lodge, No. 4.

1st report received September 19th, 1890. Correct. 2nd report received April 2nd, 1891. Correct.

Crusader Lodge, No. 5:

1st report received July 2nd, 1890. No retiring officers given. 2nd report received February 14th, 1891. Correct.

Rokeby Lodge, No. 9:

1st report received November 1st, 1890. No date or seal and representatives not given; financial standing should show balance on hand of \$5.80.

2nd report received March 11th, 1891. No date or seal and three representatives given instead of two; number of members last report brought forward as 12 instead of 11; names of Past Chancellors not given.

Prospect Lodge, No. 10:

1st report received August 16th, 1890. Correct and very neat.

2nd report received January 20th, 1891. Names of representatives omitted.