

to my purpose to do  
ine and prove from  
vino Romani Ponti-  
appeals to history.  
k with (as it were)  
s claimed during the  
as they lie in the  
which comes before

menical Hierarchy of  
eir by default. No  
es. Is it possible to  
ntinople, heir to the  
Martin? Does any  
our minds the image  
stical power, which  
ply vanished, or, if  
would throw them-  
holding an argument  
and where we stand  
difference of belief  
rist set up a visible  
and maintenance of  
His people; but we  
re is it? If all that  
Constantinople or  
there was a radical  
Christianity came to an  
rch faded out of the  
ancient history, as a  
s fellows: it is noth-  
a, that combination  
ome of which I have  
not take as much as  
ch has a monadic  
n the Church as a  
e it in that commu-  
a alone and round  
s, and duties which  
t. We must take  
believe in the Pope.  
s, which seems so  
being Catholics at  
There is nothing  
o dinning of novel-  
y called Ultramon-  
o the Pope in our  
t help ourselves—  
will; we should not  
ts visible head.

So it is; the course of ages has fulfilled the prophecy and promise, "Thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build My Church; and whatsoever thou shalt bind on earth, shall be bound in heaven, and whatsoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven." That which in substance was possessed by the Nicene Hierarchy, that the Pope claims now. I do not wish to put difficulties in my way; but I cannot conceal or smooth over what I believe to be a simple truth, though the avowal of it will be very unwelcome to Protestants, and, as I fear, to some Catholics. However, I do not call upon another to believe all that I believe on the subject myself. I declare it, as my own judgment, that the prerogatives, such as, and, in the way in which, I have described them in substance, which the Church had under the Roman Power, those she claims now, and never, never will relinquish; claims them, not as having received them from a dead Empire, but partly by the direct endowment of her Divine Master, and partly as being a legitimate outcome of that endowment; claims them, but not except from Catholic populations, not, as if accounting the more sublime of them to be of every-day use, but holding them as a protection or remedy in great emergencies or on supreme occasions, when nothing else will serve, as extraordinary and solemn acts of her religious sovereignty. And our Lord, seeing what would be brought about by human means, even had He not willed it, and recognizing, from the laws which He Himself had imposed upon human society, that no large community could be strong which had no head, spoke the word in the beginning, as he did to Judah, "Thou art he whom thy brethren shall praise," and then left it to the course of events to fulfil it.

2. Mr. Gladstone ought to have chosen another issue for attack upon us, other than the Pope's power. His real difficulty lies deeper; as little permission as he allows to the Pope, would he allow to any ecclesiastic who would wield the weapons of St. Ambrose and St. Augustine. That concentration of the Church's powers which history brings before us should not be the object of his special indignation. It is not the existence of a Pope, but of a Church, which is his aversion. It is the powers, and not their distribution and allocation in the ecclesiastical body which he writes against. A triangle or parallelogram is the same in its substance and nature, whichever side is made its base. "The Pontiffs," says Mr. Bowden, who writes as an Anglican, "exalted to the kingly throne of St. Peter, did not so much claim new privileges for themselves, as deprive their episcopal brethren of privileges originally common to the hierarchy. Even the titles by which those autocratical prelates, in the plenitude of their power, delighted to style themselves, 'Summus Sacerdos,' 'Pontifex Maximus,' 'Vicarius Christi,' 'Papa' itself, had, nearer to the primitive times, been the honorable appellations of every Bishop, as "Sedes Apostolica" had been the description of every Bishop's throne. The ascription of these titles, therefore, to the Pope only, gave to the terms new force, because that ascription became exclusive; because, that is, the bishops in general