

ARGUMENT THIRD.

(1) That practice for which no command, or of which no example can be found in the word of God, has no Divine authority.

(2) There can be *no* command for or clear example of infant baptism found in the word of God, *ergo*

(3) Infant baptism has no Divine authority and is *purely* an invention of men.

I shall establish the premises of the above arguments by another.

ARGUMENT FOURTH.

(1) If there was *one* command for, or clear example of, infant baptism in the word of God the advocates of the practice would find it.

(2) But they have not found it, *ergo*

(3) The Word of God does not contain either command for or example of infant baptism.

Again. (1) That ordinance for which no command, or of which no clear example can be found in the New Testament, does not belong to the Christian church.

(2) There can be no command for, or clear example of infant baptism found in the New Testament. The echo of the voice of all the *candid* is heard in the words of PROF. MOSES STEWART: "Commands or plain and certain examples in the New Testament, relative to it, I do not find" *On Bap. p. 201. Ergo*

(3) Infant baptism does not belong to the Christian church or church of Christ. *

* For the art of thus presenting this subject in short logical arguments (which *must* be conclusive since the premises cannot be rejected) as well as for a few of the arguments thus presented, I am indebted largely to Dr. Graves.