

Did you write that? A. Yes, I think so. That is not in contradiction to what I have said at all. What other alternative would a party have when it is declared illegal? It has the two alternatives, either to dissolve itself and disband completely or else continue to work. And anything that that party does, from a legal point of view, is illegal because that party is banned.

Q. Though you might think that the laws of Canada were wrong --- A. I did not say they were wrong.

Q. Well, assume that you did not think they were wrong. I am giving you the benefit of that doubt. You advocated illegal opposition to them. That could mean nothing but that, could it? A. Well, I have explained that the logic of the position on the war naturally leads to a position that you either stand and do absolutely nothing or else you do something about the opinions and policies that you hold to; and any of those things you do would naturally be interpreted legally, at any rate, as being of an illegal nature.

Q. Just one or two more quotations of the language I suggest you publish yourself in this your official organ to the young men of Canada? I am quoting from page 22:

"Lenin commented on these prophetic words of Engels in 1917 and said:

'... a number of 'legal' positions have been wrenched from the working class. But on the other hand it has been steeled by trials and is receiving severe but beneficial lessons in illegal organization, in illegal struggles and is preparing its forces for a revolutionary attack.'"

That is a quotation from Lenin? A. That is from Engels.

Q. Yes, that is from Engels. Then here: