a

ສຳ

W

tŀ

A

N

te

M

tic

ra

St

M

for

m

on

mi

Tŀ

tha

ftir

wh

She

was

do

Was

a S

terr

any

this

the

fons

mer

Ougier's Action.

An Action was brought against him in the Court of Common Pleas. An Affidavit was made of the Debt, and Mr. Ougier's Goods were attached in the regular Way (fee the Papers relating to this in the Appendix to the Second Report); it turned out upon a Trial (but not till after the Jury had taken an Hour to confider the Matter) that the Defendant did no: owe the Money. Mr. Ougier has made heavy Complaint of this Proceeding, as if he had funfored unheard of Injustice, because his Goods were attached where it was proved afterwards no Debt was due. The Absurdity of fuch Complaint is to plain to every One who hears it, that there is no need to fay a Syllable to refute it.

Though Mr. Ougier has been pleafed to represent himself to be a Person of too much Credit at Newfoundland to be fubjected to the ufiel Process of Attachment, he will not find any 10 dy in this Kingdom who will think Juftice is to be administered with fuch Partiality. One of the principal Grievances made by Mr. Ougier in this Matter of Complaint was, the Manner in which the Attachment was put on; namely, that it was exceffive, and had the Effect of impounding 6 or $f_{3.7,000}$ worth of Goods, for fecuring 3 or $f_{1.400}$ of Debt, and fo putting an utter Stop to his Bufinefs. When I was at the Bay of Bulls, where this Tranfaction paffed, I was refolved to enquire into the Fact, in order to fatisfy myfelf, and be able to explain it, fhould it ever be revived among