RIDAY, OCTOBER 28,

CURRIE COMMENTS **ON DENTAL COURSE**

Takes Cognizance of Newspaper Article Suggesting McGill Change

NO AMENDMENT NEEDED

No Word From University of Montreal Regarding Proposed Bill and Doubts Such Action

wo years in the faculty of arts McGill University is still ade-the preparation for the study of history in the at McGill University is still ade-quate preparation for the study of dentistry, in the opinion of Sir Arthur Currie, principal of the uni-versity, who issued a statement to. The Gazette yesterday in connec-tion with an article that appeared in Tuesday's La Presse in regard to pre-dental qualifications at the University of Montreal and McGill This article stated that there was a move afoot to have a bill intro-duced at the next session of the Legislature requiring an arts degree for all students entering the dental profession. It was explained that this was necessary because of pre-sent inequalities in the standard of students entering dentistry at Mc-Gill and the University of Montreal. It said: "The English university of Montreal only requires dental students to have completed the sec-ond year in the arts course; while heast required one additional prepa-ratory year over and above the two years in arts, has now decided that in future two turther years will be required. This offers an inequa-lity between students of the Eng-lish and French language before the Dental College. The Frenen Canadians, better prepared and with more knowledge than Canadians of the English tongue, nevertheless have to study two more years prior to entrance and graduate two years later," The article went on to explain that the bill in mind would require study of of Sir to e. later.' The t t

to entrance and graduate two years later," The article went on to exp.ain that the bill in mind would require that standards at McGill be caised to the same degree as now obtains t. the University of Montreal. In commenting on this report, Sir Arthur Currie said: "I cannot understand the article in La Presse. So far as it deals with the equivalence between train-ing at McGill and at the classical colleges, it is of course rather mis-leading. We have never attempted to establish any equivalences be-cause our courses are quite differ-ent in content and in arrangement. "This has nothing to do with the main point at issue. Several years ago, after very full consideration of the contents of our course, it was agreed by the University of Mont-real, the Dental Board, and McGill, that two years in arts at McGill provided quite an adequate pre-paration for the study of dentistry. This situation is unaltered. I know it to be satisfactory to the board. We had no word of any proposed change from the University of Montreal, and I can hardly believe that our sister institution would ap-peal to the Legislature over our heads." Dr. Edouard Montpetit, secretary-

that our sister institution over ou heads." Dr. Edouard Montpetit, secretary general of the University of Mont real, said that the matter was i he hands of the dental facuity a hat institution and had no com ont-s in