

Food Aid

Mr. Paproski: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I would like to take this opportunity to thank the hon. member for Davenport (Mr. Caccia) for sharing his time with other members of this House, which he said he would do. It is unbelievable what this man would do.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, on the same point of order, but in a different vein, I wonder if there could be unanimous agreement that Your Honour not see the clock for about ten minutes. There have been two speakers from the Liberal side but only one Conservative in this debate on a Conservative motion, and I think we would all like to give the hon. member for Edmonton-Strathcona about ten minutes.

[*Translation*]

Mr. Lachance: I rise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker. Although the suggestion made by the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles) may be valid in principle, since this debate can be pursued later this session, I do not see why we could not wait until then if other hon. members want to take part in the debate.

● (1702)

[*English*]

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Ethier): Hon. members have heard the suggestion that we not see the clock until ten after five. Is that agreed?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

[*Translation*]

Mr. Pinard: Mr. Speaker, as I understood the remarks made by the hon. member for Lafontaine-Rosemont (Mr. Lachance), he mentioned that hon. members would have the opportunity to deal with this subject later. So, if we draw the logical conclusion from his remarks, he refuses to give his consent.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Ethier): Order, please. Since there is apparently not unanimous consent, the private members' hour is now over. It being five o'clock, the House stands adjourned until 2 p.m. Monday, pursuant to the provisions of Standing Order 2(1).

At 5.01 p.m. the House adjourned, without question put, pursuant to Standing Order.