

Division

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): The question is on the amendment to the motion. All those in favour of the amendment will please say yea.

Some hon. Members: Yea.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. Members: Nay.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): In my opinion the nays have it.

And more than five members having risen:

The House divided on the amendment (Mr. Broadbent) which was negated on the following division:

• (2140)

(Division No. 7)

YEAS

Messrs:

Beaudoin
Blackburn
Broadbent
Burton
Dionne
Gauthier
Gilbert
Godin
Harding
Knowles (Winnipeg
North Centre)

Lambert
(Bellechasse)
MacInnis (Mrs.)
Nystrom
Orlikow
Peters
Rondeau
Rose
Rowland
Saltsman
Skoberg—20.

NAYS

Messrs:

Allmand
Andras
Bécharde
Bell
Blair
Breau
Buchanan
Clermont
Comtois
Corriveau
Crossman
Davis
Deachman
De Bané
Downey
Dupras
Éthier
Faulkner
Flemming
Forget
Forrestall
Gibson
Gillespie
Guay (St. Boniface)
Guay (Lévis)
Guilbault
Gundlock
Hogarth
Howard
(Okanagan Boundary)

Howe
Hymmen
Isabelle
Jerome
Lachance
Lajoie
Lambert
(Edmonton West)
Lang (Saskatoon-
Humboldt)
Laniel
Leblanc (Laurier)
LeBlanc (Rimouski)
Lefebvre
Legault
Lessard (LaSalle)
Lind
MacInnis (Cape
Breton-East Richmond)
MacLean
Macquarrie
McCleave
McCutcheon
McKinley
McQuaid
Mahoney
Major
Marceau
Mazankowski
Murphy

[The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel).]

Messrs:

| | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Noël | Serré |
| Nowlan | Smith |
| O'Connell | (Northumberland- Miramichi) |
| Olson | Smith (Saint-Jean) |
| Pelletier | Southam |
| Penner | Stafford |
| Perrault | Stanbury |
| Portelance | Sulatycky |
| Pringle | Sullivan |
| Prud'homme | Trudel |
| Reid | Turner (London East) |
| Richard | Wahn |
| Ritchie | Watson |
| Rochon | Whelan |
| Rock | Whiting |
| Roy (Timmins) | Yanakis—88. |
| Roy (Laval) | |

Mr. Speaker: I declare the motion lost.

PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

A motion to adjourn the House under Standing Order 40 deemed to have been moved.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS—REQUEST FOR REPRESENTATIONS
ON BEHALF OF OPPRESSED UKRAINIANS—
EFFECTIVENESS OF CANADIAN ACTION

Mr. Heath Macquarrie (Hillsborough): Mr. Speaker, on March 2 I asked the Secretary of State for External Affairs (Mr. Sharp) what action he and the government contemplated following presentation to him by the Ukrainian Canadian Committee of a very important petition. This group of highly responsible and thoughtful Canadians had alleged widespread arrests of Ukrainian intellectuals by the governing authorities of the Soviet Union. I asked if the minister or the government had made any representations to the Soviet government concerning this very serious allegation. I also asked if the government of Canada planned to seek an investigation by any international body concerned with human rights and fundamental freedoms.

Whether the minister's reply brought satisfaction to the Ukrainian Canadian Committee or not, I cannot say. I can only observe that it impressed me as something less than convincing. The minister's observation that he had had a discussion with the committee was not news. That they had left the matter with him was also a subject in the common domain. But when on a supplemental I asked if the minister's non-reply indicated that the government had not found an effective way of dealing with the problem, he moved on to talk, not about Ukrainian intellectuals but the reuniting of Jewish families who were being oppressed throughout the world.

Why the sudden change of subject? Why the reluctance to advise the Ukrainian Canadian Committee and the House about the follow-through on this grave allegation? I have always welcomed the diminution of cold war tensions and increased contacts between the governments and people of countries once estranged. But if the era of