

instructed him to have it put on the Order Paper.

Mr. WILSON: Do I understand you to say, Mr. Speaker, that you gave Dr. Flint instructions to put that question on the Orders of the Day?

Mr. SPEAKER: The first part of the question.

Mr. WILSON: Why the first part?

Mr. SPEAKER: I instructed the clerk that, in my judgment, the first part of the question might be put upon the paper, but I thought the last part of the question too frivolous to engage the attention of the House.

Mr. WILSON: I beg leave of the House to ask that this question, No. 3, be put on the Orders of the Day.

Mr. SPEAKER: Orders of the Day.

Mr. WILSON: No, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. SPEAKER: Order, order.

Mr. WILSON: But, Mr. Speaker, I think we should understand each other now. I understand that Mr. Speaker said that in so far as question No. 3 was concerned he would leave it to the judgment of the House. Is that correct or not?

Mr. SPEAKER: Not exactly.

Mr. WILSON: If I understood you, Mr. Speaker, you said that you would leave the question to the judgment of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER: Order; the hon. gentleman is not exactly correct in that respect. I did not say that I would leave it to the judgment of the House, but I said that if my judgment was called in question I would certainly submit it to the judgment of the House to say whether the question was out of order or not.

Mr. WILSON: Do you rule, Mr. Speaker, that question No. 3 is out of order, and should not be put on the Orders of the Day?

Mr. SPEAKER: That is my judgment.

Mr. WILSON: With all due respect to you, Mr. Speaker, I appeal from your decision.

Mr. BORDEN: I do not think that there is any appeal from such a decision as the Speaker has announced, and I think that my hon. friend (Mr. Wilson) is making a great deal of fuss about a very frivolous matter. In order that his mind may be set at rest, with respect to the question which Mr. Speaker thinks is not proper to be put on

the Order Paper, I may tell him now that the Government have not received any such protest as he has alluded to.

Mr. WILSON: Mr. Speaker,—

Some hon. MEMBERS: Order, order.

Mr. WILSON: If there is no discussion on the appeal, the Prime Minister should not have got up.

Mr. PUGSLEY: What is the point of order, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. SPEAKER: The point of order is that the decision of the Chair has been given, and if that decision is called in question, there can only be an appeal to the House.

Mr. WILSON: Then why has the Prime Minister spoken?

Mr. BORDEN: Because the Prime Minister has a right to speak on any point of order.

Mr. WILSON: Have I the right to answer?

Some hon. MEMBERS: Order, order.

Mr. WILSON: Mr. Speaker,—

Mr. SPEAKER: Order. The hon. member has appealed from the decision of the Chair, and there must be no debate while the Chair is preparing the appeal to the House.

Mr. PUGSLEY: Then the Prime Minister was out of order?

Mr. WILSON: Has the Prime Minister the right to speak?

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is as follows:

Mr. Wilson, the member for Laval, had submitted a question: "Has the Government, or a member thereof, received any protest on account of the fact that His Honour Mr. Speaker reads the prayers in the French language in Parliament every second day of the week?" to which the Speaker ruled that it was not a proper question to be put on the Order Paper. From that ruling the member for Laval (Mr. A. C. Wilson) has appealed to the House.

The House divided on the question: Shall the ruling of the Speaker be confirmed?

YEAS:

Messieurs

Aikins,	Macdonell,
Alguire,	McCurdy,
Ames,	McKay,
Armstrong (Lambton),	McLean
Armstrong (York, O.),	(Queens, P.E.I.),
Baker,	McLeod,
Ball,	Marshall,