

THE
ONTARIO WEEKLY REPORTER

(TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 8TH, 1906).

VOL. VIII. TORONTO, DECEMBER 13, 1906. No. 20

MEREDITH, C.J.

NOVEMBER 21ST, 1906.

WEEKLY COURT.

RE LEAHY AND VILLAGE OF LAKEFIELD.

Municipal Corporations—Local Option By-law—Motion to Quash—Objections—Voting—Notices—Character of Type—Posting—Public Places—Tenants Voting without Right—Effect on Majority—Refusal to Swear Voter—Undue Influence—Bribery—Coercion—Boycotting—Proof of Offences—Promise to Erect Building in Village.

Motion by one Leahy, a ratepayer, to quash a local option by-law of the village of Lakefield.

D. O. Cameron and O. A. Langley, Lakefield, for the applicant.

W. E. Raney, for the village corporation, was not called upon.

MEREDITH, C.J.:—I do not think it is necessary to hear you in this case, Mr. Raney. I think all the objections to the by-law fail.

The objection to the notices that they were put in too small type and not posted in 4 of the most public places, as required by sec. 338 of the Municipal Act, is not made out upon the material. The evidence shews that notices were put up in 6 places, and the evidence in opposition to the application says that they were put in the places which were the most public.