

do not belong to *Coccus*, as now understood ; and until they are more critically examined it will be hard to guess at their proper location.

(9.) *Orthezia insignis* has been found by Mr. R. H. Pettit in a hot-house in the State of New York.

(10.) Nos. 32, 66, 52 syn., and 90 are credited to Riley MS. The names have all been published (two by Prof. Townsend, two by Mr. Howard), but no formal descriptions have appeared.

(11.) *Pulvinaria vitis* probably occurs with us, but it requires confirmation. It is recorded by Fitch.

(12.) *P. camellicola* I have from Macon, Ga., sent by Dr. Riley.

(13.) *Lecanium armeniacum* is a Californian species ; to judge from published figures, much like *depressum*.

(14.) *Lecanium pyri* is recorded by Fitch. He confused some *Pulvinaria* with it, but there is no such species as *Pulvinaria pyri*, Fitch, properly speaking. Mr. J. Fletcher has sent me a *Lecanium* on apple, from P. Edward I. ; the specimen arrived squashed flat, but on careful examination I cannot see that it is other than veritable *L. pyri*, Schr. It is strongly and thickly pitted, like the form found by Signoret on apple.

(15.) *Aspidiotus abietoides* will be described by Mr. Pettit. He has kindly sent me specimens.

(16.) *Aspidiotus spinosus* and one or two other species are marked as introduced, because only found on hot-house plants, although their native country is unknown.

(17.) *Aspidiotus juglans-regia*, from its mode of occurrence, might be suspected as a foreigner ; and it is to be observed that in the same year that it was published, Colvée described an *A. juglandis* from Catalonia. Dr. J. V. Carus has most kindly transcribed for me the description of the latter, and I find it very nearly fits *juglans-regia*,—so nearly, that the differences in the descriptions may not be essential.

(18.) *Parlatoria zizyphus* I have found on lemons exposed for sale at Las Cruces, N. Mex. The vendor told me he *thought* they came from Mexico, but was not sure.

(19.) It has seemed strange that *Ischnaspis filiformis* was not described until 1887. Dr. V. Carus has kindly transcribed for me the description of *Mytilaspis longirostris*, Sign., 1882, and on reading it, I can hardly believe it is other than *I. filiformis*.

(20.) *Leachia simplex* was described as a *Monophlebus*. Mr. Scudder