on the ground that said amendment was not in order, inasmu as the report of the committee did not state that there was a irregularity in the proceedings of the Division on the matter.

After some conversation the protest was withdrawn.

The following report was presented from the standing  $\epsilon_{0}$  mittee on Constitutions and Bye-laws:

The Standing Committee on Constitutions and Bye-Laws be to report that they have examined the Bye-Laws of Rosew. Division, No. 25, and Eureka, No. 144.

The Bye-Laws of Roseway Division do not conflict with the Constitution.

In the Bye-Laws of Eureka Division your committee woul recommend as follows:

The words "Constitution and," in Section 1 of Article 2 to 1 omitted, as Divisions have no power to remit penalties impose by the Constitution.

The words "after one week's notice has been given" to l

added to Section 5 of Article 4.

The words "in accordance with Article 7 of the Constitution and Article 2 Revised Rules" to be added to Sec. 2 of Art. 5.

That Section 3 of Article 5 be struck out; first, because it! unconstitutional; secondly, because the preceding section pm

vides for penalties to be imposed on members, &c.

That the words "a ballotting shall take place, and if a majority shall vote to give it to," in section 8 of article 5, be struck out and the words "if there be no charge against" substituted instead thereof.

Respectfully submitted in L. P. and F.

Patrick Monaghan, John Heenan, William Murray,

Halifax, N. S., 24th October, 1859.

Adopted.

The undermentioned members were elected as representative to the National Division:

G.	W.	Ρ.	Rev.	Peter	G.	McGregor.	$\dots$ No.	96
G.	W.	A.	Avar	d Long	ley	·		44

P. G. W. P. William Burrill . . . . . 4