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Pianos! brinsmeal: Pianos!


FOR SATH,


100 Tons Bright, Round Sydney COAL, Sent Home Cheap from the wharf of
feb25 CLIFT, WOOD \& Co.

## Butter -:- Batter.


P. \& L. TESSIER DRYER ${ }^{2}$ CREENE, Fresh Codfish Tongues,
Halibut,-:-Herring,:-- Venison And Smoked Turbot,
Preserve: Your: Sigitit FRAMK TARARAUS,
 $1+5$
 Candanar: : RoND:: PRises.
 Chice Fresit Halibut. at the Gitio nicitiun Sale Romis, anis colere munat: 3 BRLS CODFISH, 2 BLE BLS HERBING.

FRESH New-Laïd EGGS. CLIFT, WOOD 500 Dozir Tgass,
WTLL BE SOLD CHEAP, to close sales.
P. \&L.Tessier

$\mathbf{\$ 3 . 2 0}$ per brl.
SMOKED CAPLIN ON SALE BI GIFT, WOOD \& CO., Choiee Smoked Caplin,

## FOR SALE

One hanlsome Double Sleigh,
suitabie for palt of horses; quite new and In good order.
deeog
JOANS $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{SPMMS}$.

LEGILLATIVE COUNGIL.
Tue House met at half-past fcur o'clock. Hon, the Presidencr laid before the House a petition that had been formarded to him fr
Trepassey, on the sabject of prohibition. Trepassey, on the subject of prohibition. Hon. M. Monkoe presented a petition from
the inbabitants of Shoal Harbor, Trinity Bay, the inhabitants of Shosl Harbor, Trinity Bay

ORDER OF THE DA
SECOND READING of sEAL FISHERY PROTECTION
ACT AMENDMENT BILL.
Hon. the Colonial Secretary ssid, when
this bill came up for the approval of this
Chamber, he had explained the circumstances
Connnected with it, and gave his reasons, it not
neing
in a matter of so much importance to the trade
and general interest of the colony without first
having had a consultation with its introducer,
who, at the time, was absent from St. John's.
He had thought, aloo, that as it related wholly He had thought, also, that as it related wholly
to the prosecution of one of our staple industries, its explanation in this Chamber would
come more appropriately from one of the commercial members of the Chamber than from
more im. However, at the suggestion of hon. Mr. Monroe, it was, as a matter of ordinary courleas, read a first time; but that hon, gentle.
man does not apparently desire to take any farther steps in advancing it to subsequept
stages. In now, therefore, moving thst the bill be read a second time, he (C. S.) would remark that its principle is confined ehiefly to
two points. It first proposes to repeal the Act of last session, which defined the right of property in seals killed and bulked, or panned,
pon the ice; and, secondly, it limits the time for panning to a period subsequent to the
twentieth of March. Hon. members, no doubt, remember that the Act passed last year was, in its passage thronge both branches
of the Legielature, the subject of much consideration and discussion, and in its final shape established principles of right that gor-
erned cases of litigation which frequently occurred, arising out of disputed ownership of
seals killed and panned at the sealisishery. It order to maintain a right to their property in seals killed and panned, should keep up"s conthey might become the property of other crews coming along in their absence. This law
abolished the previously existing castom by which seals bulked upon the ice, and marked killing and marking them at no matter wha distance from their vessel. This bill, on the tion of maintaining personal gaard and possession, thus relegating the practice to that Which existed prior to the enactment of last
session. As a reason for tbis proposal, it is arged by those having most intimate practica knowlegge of proceediss at the seal fishery, to watch the sels heir anxiety to secure their property, would ed upon them by causing them to expose the dritting their men to risk of loss from fog if obliged to remain in watch on the ice all night and day, serions results might occur, as so man can foresee the possibilities of the elements. The preservation of human life should
be the first consideration of the Legielature in making lawe, and if the allegations urged in avor of this measure, that it will tend more argely to that end than the act of last year, hon. gentlemen of this Chamber, who have experience and knowledge of this subject, infiitely in excess of his (C. S.), take that view of the case, it is for them to say whether the now before them. He had no argument to dvance in support of the measure beyond s bare statement of its object and the dangers it proposes to remove. If hon, members, with a due sense of the responsibility devolving apon them, consider it desirable to enact it in order to avert the evil consequences ap-
prehended; or if they think that others may possibly arise under the operation of this bill, no doubt they will set forth their reasons for the course they may pursue in dealing with it, and whatever vote may be cast upon it, each
in his own mind will be satisfled that there are good grounds for his act. He begged to mo that the bill be now read a second time. Hon. JAmes Pirrs supported the motion
made by the hon. Colonial Seceretary, The bill nranch of the assembly by a gentleman of very

## 

extensive practical experience in that branch
of our induastries with which the measure propuses directly to deal. So far as he (Mr. P.) could ascertain, the reasons that prompted him to move in the matter by bringing formard this
measure are endorsed by many others of equal experience in the prosecution of the seal-fishery. As he understood the bill, it differs materially only upon two points from the Act of last year. The provision prohibiting the panning of seals before the 20 th of March, is framed, he was led to believe, in the interests of the less owerful steamers and smaller vessels. If that commends itself strongly to his bill, then s regards the other toint to hereived map orce in the contention that the operation of last year's Act would involve the risk of health, to maintain ownership to panned seals, should emain upon the ice night and day subject to ground of agreement be admitted, then it woild be but rational as well as an act of humanity,
o take such action as would obviate the possibility
These grounds were sufficient, he thought, recommend the bill to the favorable considerareasons sufflcient to justify him in supporting

Hon. C. Bowring entirely disapproved o the proposed repesl of an act so recently passboth branches of the legislature, as that o of legislation, when the legislature passes an ation it should not be hastily superseded with out grave canse. Such a course woul part in them and render legislation a mockery and a delasion. When he rembered the time, he discussion and consideration of the la enting to, are now desiring to rescind withon trial of its merits, he must characterize the
proceeding as strange and extraordingry to say the least of it. They had, during the progress of the measure through the legislaregarding the leffect no evidence taken officially regarding the effect of its provisions, but in-
formation was obtained from nearly all sources of value, and opinions of practical persons were prove to be a law bighly beneficial to the interests of the country as affected by the
pecial industry it was enacted to protect. He liogether disagreed with the hon. Mr. Pitt to them for approval would conserve the interests of the less powerful ships. He though
quite the contrary would be its tendency : that it would place the whole chance of the voyage indiscriminate panning of seals giving them at any time an immense advantage over their
weaker neighbors. The crew of one of the large steamers on getting among the seals can begin to take them while the ship keeps steam
ing abead, and with her powerful steam winches can take on board two seals for every one that related to him of a powerful steamer getting early into the seals, her crew panning and flaging seven or eight thousand, and when others of the fleet came ap the master of the forme fresh berths and loaded his ship; but the seals he had left behind being flagged were entirely
ost, because the ships following in his wake respected the flags placed as marks on the pans to secure right of property. He did no think there need be apprehended any danger o life under the operation of the provision of existing law which requires personal guardianship or possession to constitute right disputes and conflicts would be much more likely to occar under tbis bill which wonld ooster litigation, to obviate which was one of he most urgent reasons advanced in support unnecessary to remind honorable members what litigation arising out of seal
ig dispotes means, with the confusion, ill feeling and demoralizing tendencies it engenders. We have seen men in these cases go into the witness-box and make statements,
through ignorance or design, utterly at variance with circumstances as deposed to by others, rendering conflict of testimony so em-
barrassing that in most cases it is next to imbarriasing that in most cases it is next to im-
posible to arrive at a true state of the facts. possible to arrive at a true state of the facts.
He (Mr. B.) had conversed with several sealing masters, men who had considerable practi-

