ience. I trink the case of Finland and Russia is not different from the case presented by England and the Channel Islands. The case of Austria and the Channel Islands. The case of Austria and Hungary has also been cited. I fully grant that the magnitude of that case is such that if you can, by the development of that case, show it affords a precedent for us, you certainly make out a strong case I have heard of the alleged and the great and parameunt difficulty of this question to which I have just referred, namely, the establishment of a dividing and a divided authority—as in the case of the Austro-Hungarian Empire—by a reference of the Master to the personal authority of the Sovereign. If that be so, am I really to understand that it is the proposal of those members of this House who take the view I am now referring to that the personal authority of a Sovereign in this country is to decide the question of what subjects are to be referred to the Parliament of this country If that is the doctrine held, then I say you are immediately involved in a dilemma more hopeless than any that has presented itself to you, because on the ore hand the subjects are to be decided on the authority of responsible Ministers, or on the other hand by a personal will or whim. If the decision is to be determined on the authority of responsible Ministers—the responsible Ministers of Great Britain or the responsible Ministers of Great Britain or the responsible Ministers of Great Britain or the subject. Then, if in the highest and nicest matter of Government you are going again to set up the personal responsible Ministers of Great Britain the power of drawing a distinction which involves the most vital, delicate and practical parts of the subject. Then, if in the highest and nicest matter of Government you are going again to set up the personal responsibility of the Bovereign apart from the advice of responsible ministers, you are at once proposing a revolution in this country more profound than you need bring about by the establishment

Now, Sir, in that speech, while announcing once again his adhesion to the principle of Local Government, that great statesman has endeavored to shift from the shoulders of the responsible Government of the Empire to the shoulders of those who are in a hopeless min-ority, a question which belongs to that Government to solve. I say that it belongs to those who are responsible for the good government of the Empire, who have the majority, who have the power, who can initiate legislation themselves to grapple with the difficulty. I say that those who admit that the present system is unjust, who admit that the present condition of Ireland cannot be satisfactory without some change, who acknowledge that a change can be made, are in an untenable posi-tion when they tell the minority: "Gentlemen, come forward, propound some plan, solve every difficulty, tell us how you would settle

this question, and until you do that we are not called upon to act." That is not, in my opinion, language worthy of any statesman, be he Conservative or Reformer. It is not upon such statements that the I ish question can be settled. It would be folly to blink the consideration that any measure that Mr. Gladstone may propose on this question would be unsatisfactory to many, and at any rate it would not be accepted by the Irish people as a final settlement of the question. But I maintain that the longer you delay, the greater the difficulties, and I maintain that though the proposition you are able to propose may not be satisfactory to all, it is no ground whatever for declining to do that which you yourself acknowledged it is just should be done. True justice will do that which is right, and will give that measure of relief which it knows is just, and which it believes will give that added measure of safety and security which will result from the changed state of affairs. What it the state of affairs? The Prime Minister of England says the condition of Ireland is unsatisfactory, because the Irich people have not the measure of Local Got srement which they ought to have, and he says: "I will not give that measure of Local Government to you; I will not stir hand or foot in the matter until the Irish members in the House of Commons, who are in the minority, and are powerless to do anything, shall propose a measure which shall be satisfactory to themselves, and until they undertake to deal with this complicated and exacerbated question, so full of difficulties in the light of all the errors and circumstances of the past.

It being six o'clock, the Speaker left the chair.

After Recess.

Mr. Blake.-I will not engage in a discussion of the various hypothetical cases and somewhat strained difficulties which, it seems to me, are dealt with in that speech on that question. I frankly admit that the division of power, local and federal, some of them: but how there can be a difficulty in deciding how that is to be regulated and in determining how it is to be regulated by a general Act of justice, I cannot at all see. There can be no doubt whatever that the difficulty which occurred to the Prime Minister on this occasion, was the view which he has entertained and expressed so freely and which is that same difficulty that has provented justice being done to Ireland in former years and under other circumstances; it is the difficulty of have . ing to doal with a recalcitrant and inert mass of public opinion not sufficiently advanced to enable him to grapple with the subject. To him, I believe, the words of the great poet of the adjoining republic apply when he says:

His statecraft was the golden rule, His right of vote a sacred trust, Clear above threat and ridicule, All heard his challenge 'Is it just?'"

I believe that and liberal tr sty of that st sustained, hi to give him t which, thoug him to do in service of h who moved t that Scotlan Within the meeting was to ask for a triennial elec be no doubt, business taci business has have been gr the mauager been accomp sort of imp We know th measures, t gether and the country been passed trenched up others, alm succeeded i ceeded in m had strong which invo the United Scotland ca fluence in n question. liament can tions; tha sympathy, Gla istone not compet proved and to deal sati the British ple this le restless ou timate occi cencerns. House wit speech by

> "Sir, this tinet and scrupled to ighly unli these opini ions upon t M have the which I so Not for Ire take and p policy, 80 istructure (mout. ary and lo

liament th