## LIST OF PAPERS-Continued.

From and to whom.	Date.	Subject.	Page.	From
Mr. Wharton to Sir J. Pauncefote.	1891. Oot. 12	Delay of ten weeks in replying to the proposal of		Sir J. Pauno
ET. W DAYON SOME S. Y BUILDERSON.	COS 12	Delay of ten weeks in replying to the proposal of July 23, for the settlement of claims for dam- ages is called to Sir Pauncefote's attention, to- gether with the fact that the modus visends or		,
		gether with the fact that the modus vivendi ex- pires May 2, 1892. The President feels that if any effective action is to be taken in the matter before the next flabing season opensall the terms of agreement of arbitration should be disposed		Mr. Blaine to
Sir J. Pauncefote to Mr. Wharton	Oct. 18	of immediately.  Reply to the above. Lord Salisbury is expected in London this week; much of the period of ten	, 60	
Same to same	Oct. 17	weeks was tak in rp in informal disonations. The British Government insists upon its inter- pretation of the damage clause as presented in his note of A ngust 28. The same proposition is practically renewed.	70	
Mr. Wharton to Sir J. //sunce fote	Oct. 22	note and discusses it at some length, but with	71	
		a view to induce a prompt solution animits a wording of the clause in conformity to the wish that questions of fact only shall be submitted to arbitration, the question of liability being reserved for future negotiations.		Sir J. Pauno
Sir J. Pauncefote to Mr. Wharton	Oct. 23		72	
Sir J. Pauncefote to Mr. Blaine	Nov. 28	received by telegraph.  States that two reservations are desired in article 6, vis. that the necessity and nature of any regulations are left to the arbitrators, and that ench regulations will not become obligatory upon the United States and Great Britain until they have received the assent of the maritime	73	
Mr. Blaine to Sir J. Pannoefote	Nov. 27	powers. States that within a few days the minister had furnished the exact points that had been agreed upon for arbitration; that he now informs him by his note of the 2d instant that two reservations are desired in the sixth article; that all regulations should be left to the arbitrators, and that they shall be accepted by the other maritime powers before becoming obligatory upon the United States and Great Britain. Such a proposition will postpone the matter indefinitely, and it can not be taken into consideration. There is no objection to submitting it to the maritime agencies.	74	Mr. Blaine to
		but the United States can not agree to make	-	Sir <b>J. Paunce</b>
Sir J. Pannosfote to Mr. Blaine	Dec., 1	direct interest in the seal flaheries.  States that with regard to the first reservation proposed in his note of 23d ultime, the statement made in Department note of the 27th ultime assures the same and it may be put aside. The object of the second reservation was to prevent the fisheries from being put at the mercy of some third power. The regulation might be evaded by British and American sealers by simply holeting the fing of a non-adhering power. Suggests that after the lapse of one, were if either government complains	75	Same to sume
		that injury is being done to the naheries it may give notice of a suspension of the regulations. Suggests also that if any dispute arises be- tween the two nations the question in contro- versy shall be referred to an admiral of each,		Same to same
Mr. Blaine to Sir J. Pauncefete	Dec. 2	who may choose an umpire. In reply to note of lat instant, states that Presi-	76	Same to same
		of a third nation engaging in scaling; no other		Same to same
		the agreement because it will endanger her own scaling property. We may look to her to sanction and attempthen it. The two nations		Same to same.
		dent is unable to see the apprehended danger of a third nation engaging in seeling; no other nation ever has. Russia will not dissent from the agreement because it will endanger her own scaling property. We may look to her to sanction and strengthen it. The two nations, however, should unite in a note to the principal powers advising them of what has been done and asking their approval. If the agreement is disturbed by a third nation Great Britain and United States can act conjointly. It is therefore hoped that arbitration may be allowed to proceed.	4	Mr. Blaine to