

"Daniel Pickett, restored to his former standing in the Local Connexion.

"JAMES RICHARDSON, *President*.

"PETER KERR, *Secretary*."

The late Bishop Richardson assured me that "Bro. Pickett stood high in the estimation of the people, and he had heard nothing against him." On the Journals of the same District Conference for the next year (1833)—Franklin Metcalfe, President—we find Daniel Pickett's name associated with two others, to draft an address to the Annual Conference. This settles the question of his standing, and contradicts the representations of Dr. C.

Let us now examine our author's reference to the *Rev. Joseph Gatchell*. He says :

"Poor weak-minded old Mr. Gatchell ! He was more their dupe than anything else, and was persuaded by them to do duty as the impersonation and embodiment of the original Canada Conference ! A wondrous representative, truly !"

And on page 64, where he professes to give the "veritable history" of Mr. Gatchell, he says that, after the year 1834, "he is not mentioned in any form—neither 'located,' 'withdrawn,' or 'expelled' in the Wesleyan Minutes." Is this not enough to bury him out of sight forever ? If it had not been to serve a party purpose, his name would not have appeared in their Minutes for 1834 either. The point sought to be made by Dr. C. is that Mr. Gatchell was a member of the Wesleyan Conference in 1833-34. The only proof of this he gives is, that Gatchell "received his superannuated allowance from Conference funds for the year 1833, and is duly charged with it in the printed Minutes of 1834, one year after the union was ratified."