

APPENDIX No. 3

STATEMENT showing the percentage of protein or nitrogenous materials—body building and repairing materials—in certain kinds of fish and meats—*Continued.*

	Per cent protein.
Pickarel	12'0
Pollock	15'4
Salmon (Atlantic) dressed	15'0
Smelt (whole)	10'1
Oysters (bulk)	6'0
" (shell)	1'2
Soft shell clams, long neck (in shell)	5'0
Hard shell clams, little neck (in shell)	2'1
Mussel (in shell)	4'6
Lobster (in shell)	5'9
" (canned)	18'1
Crabs (in shell)	7'9
" (canned)	15'8
Beef, side, medium fat	14'8
Mutton side	13'0
Average of beef, veal, and mutton	14'5
Pork, side	8'3
Chicken	13'7
Turkey	16'1
Milk	3'3
Wheat flour	11'4
" bread	9'2
Potato	1'8
Cabbage	1'4

In the following table, the cost of one pound protein if purchased in the way of the foods named, at the prices stated, is shown:—

	Price per Pound. Cents.	Cost of 1 Pound of Protein. \$ cts.
Codfish (whole)	8	72
" steaks	12	71
Halibut	16	1 04½
Haddock (whole)	8	1 00
Salmon (canned)	12	62
Beef, sirloin steak	25	1 52
" round	14	74
Mutton chops	20	1 48
Pork loin	12	90

Q. One of the witnesses who appeared before the committee stated that the carload quantities on the Intercolonial had within the last year or so been increased in the case of fresh fish from 20,000 to 24,000 pounds, and in the case of smoked and pickled fish, if my memory serves, from 24,000 to 30,000 pounds. From your knowledge of Canadian markets do you think such action is oppressive on the fish trade?—A. I think there can be no doubt that it will have a detrimental effect in shipping by carloads, at least in every market excepting the Friday market. While it might be possible to make up 20,000 pounds frequently, at the same time it would not be possible to make 24,000 pounds, and thus the carload-lot rate would be shut out.

Q. Then you would regard it as a detriment and a hindrance to the development of the fish business?—A. I would so regard it.

Q. Do you know whether the Canadian Pacific Railway has the same standard quantities now for a carload?—A. I have had no specific information from them. I observe, however, a contradiction in the evidence.

Q. Would you state to the committee the quantity of lobsters which have been imported into Canada during the last fiscal year?—A. I should say there were none imported into Quebec. The importations into Ontario numbered 183, made up as follows: Toronto, 175 barrels; Hamilton, 7 barrels; Bridgeburg, 1 barrel.