

November 28th, 1925.

Dr. G. R. Lomer,
University Librarian,
McGill University.

My dear Dr. Lomer:-

I have yours of the 26th and confirm the conclusions arrived at as set forth by you.

I quite appreciate that the main issue is not one of relieving congestion but of giving satisfactory reading accommodation to the students who use the Library. We believe that, restricted as we are by the decision to retain the present front of the Arts Building and the limited space between the front wall and Carlton Road, we are doing as well as we can in the matter of accommodation in the Arts Building. Addition accommodation both for stacks and reading room will have to be found by further extension of the Library, but that cannot be provided until we have another campaign for funds. There is no need of the Library Committee continually bringing it up. The needs of the Library are thoroughly well known by the University authorities and I doubt whether constant repetition by the Committee serves any good purpose.

Please do not misunderstand me, for I would much rather have a Committee continually pressing for reforms than one indifferent to the requirements of the Department in which they are interested.

Yours faithfully,