

*Business of the House*

Recently that has been denied or reinterpreted by a representative of the United States Embassy in Ottawa. Quite apart from that particular point—and it is an important one—the officials of my Department are under standing instructions to continue to press vigorously the case of those Canadians who, we believe, suffered an injustice in the experimental psychiatry which took place at the Allan Memorial Institute in Montreal.

\* \* \*

## PETITION

MR. BLAIKIE—ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Herbert):** Order, please. I have the honour to inform the House that the petition presented by the Hon. Member for Winnipeg-Birds Hill (Mr. Blaikie) on Wednesday, May 23, 1984, meets the requirements of the Standing Orders as to form.

\* \* \*

## OFFICIAL REPORT

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Herbert):** The attention of the Chair has been drawn to an unparliamentary remark which appears on page 3953 of *Hansard*. The remark is attributed to the Hon. Member for Lethbridge-Foothills (Mr. Thacker). If it is correctly attributed, perhaps he would like to withdraw the unparliamentary remark.

**Mr. Blaine A. Thacker (Lethbridge-Foothills):** Mr. Speaker, I draw your attention to *Hansard* of May 23, in which I am reported as having called the Hon. Member for Cowichan-Malahat-The Islands (Mr. Manly) a liar. I said: "You're a liar". What I should have said is that he was not telling the truth. In view of the fact that the Hon. Member is a man of the cloth, his remarks so enraged me that I said: "You're a liar". I withdraw that remark.

\* \* \*

## BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

## WEEKLY STATEMENT

**Mr. Hnatyshyn:** Mr. Speaker, would the Government House Leader outline for us the proposed business of the House of Commons for next week?

**Mr. Pinard:** Mr. Speaker, tomorrow we will be dealing with second reading of Bill C-33. On Monday, we will start debating second reading stage of Bill C-25, respecting CDIC. On Tuesday and Wednesday of next week, we will start debating a Bill that will be introduced tomorrow, notice of which has been given on page X of the Order Paper. It is an Act to amend the National Housing Act. This Bill is interrelated to our mortgage protection plan. There is another Bill which is interrelated to the same plan and it will also be introduced tomorrow. It is intitled: "An Act to amend the Interest Act". These two

Bills are interrelated to our mortgage interest protection plan. The first one to be dealt with on Tuesday will be the one amending the National Housing Act. Those two Bills will be introduced tomorrow and will be debated on Tuesday and Wednesday of next week.

Finally, next Thursday will be another Opposition Day. Therefore I designate next Thursday as an Opposition Day. This is all subject to change, as usual.

**Mr. Deans:** Mr. Speaker, I would have normally raised the question I am about to ask at the House Leaders' meeting, but because of the way the business was called, we did not have one today. Is the Government House Leader in a position to tell us what is the Government's intention with regard to Bill C-34?

Last week I raised a question about making provision for fast passage of the Bill. I offered to have the Bill go through second reading with one speaker from this Party, and I hoped to hear from the Conservatives that they too would be prepared to agree to a similar arrangement. I know the Minister of Labour has written to both the Leader of the Official Opposition and to the Leader of the New Democratic Party indicating that he would be willing to have the Bill pass through all stages expeditiously, meaning before the end of June, before the House rises, if we could agree to one speaker per Party at second reading and refer the Bill to committee.

Could the Government House Leader schedule in the next couple of weeks Bill C-34, the changes to the Canada Labour Code, in order that we might be able to have it passed into law before the summer recess?

**Mr. Pinard:** My hon. colleague will understand that it will be much easier for me to answer his question once the Leader of the Official Opposition replies to the letter sent to him by the Minister responsible for the legislation.

If the Minister has offered to debate the Bill and send it to committee with one speaker per Party, there is no question that the Government will support him. We will be ready to call this Bill within the reasonable delay mentioned, provided we have the agreement of the two Opposition Parties. It is somewhat early because the letter was sent only at the beginning of this week, but we do not know as yet what is the position of the Official Opposition. If there is an understanding among the Parties, certainly we will make it possible for one speaker per Party to be heard within the delay mentioned by the Hon. Member, provided that we have an answer within the next few days.

**Mr. Hnatyshyn:** Mr. Speaker, this is all very interesting. I gather from the remarks of the Government House Leader that from now on I will negotiate House business with the Minister of Labour. I want to tell the Minister of Labour that as soon as I have an opportunity of seeing his letter, which was addressed to my Leader, I will get back to him and talk about what we will do in the next few weeks in terms of House business.