

Canada Oil and Gas Act

Individuals or groups met	(b)(i) Date	(ii) Location	(iii) Duration	(iv) Purpose of each meeting
<u>Trip number 4</u>				
P.E.I. Potato Producers Association P.E.I. Potato Marketing Board	January 19, 1981	Charlottetown	2 hours	To discuss new seed regulations as they may affect P.E.I. elite seed program
<u>Trip number 5</u>				
P.E.I. Potato Marketing Board	February 9, 1981	Charlottetown	two and one-half hours	EEC regulations as they relate to seed exports of Maritime Potatoes
P.E.I. Potato Producers Association	February 9, 1981	Souris	3 hours	To discuss Status of 1979 Potato Stabilization — Possible funding and update on the Eastern Canada Potato Agency Plan
<u>Trip number 6</u>				
P.E.I. Potato Producers Association	February 15, 1981	Charlottetown	three and one-half hours	Preparatory talks for future Eastern Canada Potato Agency meetings in Ottawa
<u>Trip number 7</u>				
Premier of P.E.I. (with Minister of Agriculture)	March 4, 1981	Charlottetown	2 hours	To discuss proposed Atlantic Veterinary College
<u>Trip number 8</u>				
P.E.I. Potato Marketing Board Members P.E.I. Potato Producers Association Member P.E.I. Potato Dealer Association Member	March 13, 1981	Souris, Charlottetown	seven and one-half hours	To discuss seed potato phytosanitary regulations, and how it relates to EEC'S and Canadian Seed Potato and the proposed EEC Potato Agency Plan

[English]

Mr. Smith: Madam Speaker, I ask that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

Madam Speaker: Shall the remaining questions stand?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Munro (Esquimalt-Saanich): Madam Speaker, I would like to draw to the attention of the parliamentary secretary some matters arising out of the answers given yesterday at pages 12279 and 12280 of *Hansard* in answer to my question No. 2,317 respecting the RCMP 'E' Division headquarters in Victoria. There are three points I would like to draw to the hon. member's attention.

The first and most important one concerns the answer to the question in the second column of page 12280. There is an asterisk beside it and if one makes reference to the explanation of the asterisk one sees that the cost is only an estimate since "purchase is still under negotiation, which should be completed by the end of June, 1981."

I draw your attention, Mr. Speaker, to the fact that this answer was tendered and appeared in *Hansard* on October 28, 1981. The answer was obviously prepared before June, 1981, and has been sitting somewhere. Perhaps that portion has been awaiting the accumulation of the other information. I would therefore ask the parliamentary secretary if he could update that aspect of the question.

My second point relates to line 6 of the first column of page 12280, where it refers to office equipment and furnishings.

The cost is \$74,100. Then the second column, under (d), relates to the relocation of furnishings and equipment for \$80,000. It seems to me that it is inconsistent to purchase office equipment and furnishings for \$74,100 and pay \$80,000 to move them.

My third point is a small one. It is related to the name "Fairmont, Vancouver", just above the italicized reply in column No. 2. I do not know whether that is Fairmont Street or Fairmont District. I think it should be clarified for the record.

Mr. Smith: I will look into those matters, Madam Speaker, and see if they can be clarified.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[English]

CANADA OIL AND GAS ACT

MEASURE RESPECTING OIL AND GAS INTERESTS

The House resumed, from Wednesday, October 28, consideration of Bill C-48, to regulate oil and gas interests in Canada Lands and to amend the Oil and Gas Production and Conservation Act, as reported (with amendments) from the