

salary allotment. (b), (c) and (d) The contract for language training is with the Staff Development Branch of the Public Service Commission. The amount allocated to each of these components of the program is not known by the Ministry of State for Urban Affairs.

CBC—APPEARANCE OF GERDA MUNSINGER

Question No. 2,808—**Mr. Cossitt:**

1. What was the total cost to CBC for the recent appearance of Gerda Munsinger on television including (a) transportation to and from Canada (b) expenses paid while in Canada (c) amount of fee or salary involved?

2. What are the names and job designations of all persons in CBC or elsewhere in the government involved in the decision (a) to bring Mrs. Munsinger to Canada (b) to feature her on CBC television?

Hon. James Hugh Faulkner (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation as follows: 1 and 2. It has not been customary to require the CBC to supply such details of its internal management and administration. In connection with part two, no one in the government was involved in the decisions in question.

IMPLEMENTATION AND ADMINISTRATION OF BILL C-47, AN ACT TO AMEND JUDGES ACT

Question No. 2,831—**Mr. Clark (Rocky Mountain):**

1. As of May 15, 1975, how many persons have been hired (a) by the Public Service Commission (b) under contract by the Department of Justice for duties relating primarily to implementation and administration of Bill C-47, An Act to amend the Judges Act and certain other Acts for related purposes and in respect of the reconstitution of the Supreme Courts of Newfoundland and Prince Edward Island?

2. In each case, what was the date of the original employment or commencement of contract?

Hon. Otto E. Lang (Minister of Justice): 1. (a) and (b) None.

2. Not applicable.

* * *

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

DEPARTMENT OF SUPPLY AND SERVICES—TRAVEL EXPENSES

Question No. 702—**Mr. Stevens:**

1. In each fiscal year ending March 31, 1972, 1973 and 1974, and for the six month period ending September 30, 1974, what was the aggregate expenditure by the Department of Supply and Services for travel abroad by (a) the Minister of Supply and Services and his immediate staff (b) departmental staff (c) the staff of boards, commissions, tribunals, Crown corporations or other similar agencies reporting to the Minister (d) others whose expenses were paid in part or in whole directly or indirectly by the government?

2. In the case of expenditure in excess of \$200 (a) what was the purpose of the foreign trip (b) what was the furthest destination (c) what is the name of the person(s) who took the trip (d) how long was the person(s) outside the country (e) what was the nature of the expenditure (f) how many others were in the party making the trip?

Return tabled.

Order Paper Questions

DREDGING CONTRACTS

Question No. 2,118—**Mr. Coates:**

1. For each year 1970 to 1974 (a) in what amount (b) for what specific purpose (c) on what dates were government dredging contracts awarded to Marine Industries, J.P. Porter and McNamara Corporation, or their subsidiaries?

2. In the awarding of each such contract, were tenders filed by any other company and, if so, in what amount?

3. In each such case where a contract was awarded (a) has work been completed to the Department's satisfaction (b) was final payment made (c) in what amount?

4. In each such case, are final payments still to be made and, if so (a) to whom (b) for what reason are accounts still outstanding?

Return tabled.

STUDY OF POSSIBLE ENVIRONMENTAL OR POLLUTION ASPECTS OF SYNCRUDE CANADA LTD. OIL SANDS OPERATION

Question No. 2,483—**Mr. Yewchuk:**

1. Did the government conduct a study of the possible environmental or pollution aspects of the Syncrude Canada Ltd. oil sands operation and, if so (a) on what date (b) by what department?

2. Has there been concern by the government about any design or engineering inadequacies from the environmental point of view in the Syncrude plant and, if so (a) what are such inadequacies (b) have they been corrected?

3. What are the (a) names (b) positions (c) qualifications of officials involved in such a study?

4. Was the study ever passed on or released to (a) Syncrude officials (b) the Province of Alberta (c) the public?

5. Did the study contain any recommendations to safeguard against environmental damage and, if so (a) what, if any, were such recommendations (b) what was the reaction to them of (i) Syncrude officials (ii) the Province of Alberta?

6. (a) To date, how much money has the government invested in Syncrude (b) what will be its total commitment?

7. Will the government insist that a portion of the money be spent on environmental protection or anti-pollution devices and, if not, for what reason?

8. Is the government or any of its departments or officials working with any Syncrude or provincial officials to ensure that environmental damage at the oil sands plant does not occur or, if it does, is rectified?

9. Have any checks been made to see that employees and future employees of the Syncrude project are protected from unusual health problems resulting from oil sands operations and, if not (a) for what reason (b) will any be made in the future?

10. Does the government intend to use its investment in the project to ensure that (a) environmental damage is kept to a minimum (b) all anti-pollution steps necessary will be taken (c) present and future health hazards to employees will be assessed and eradicated (d) such protection will extend to residents in the area surrounding the oil sands plant?

11. Is the government prepared to work in mutual cooperation and mutual consultation with both the Syncrude officials and the Province of Alberta to see that environmental damage and health hazards are kept to a minimum at the oil sands operation?

12. As the government is an equity partner in the Syncrude project, and is into a conflict of interest situation (profit vs. environmental control), what assurance is there that the public interest will be protected insofar as environmental factors are concerned?

Return tabled.