

# THE TRUTH ABOUT THE CATHOLIC CHURCH.

BY A PROTESTANT THEOLOGIAN.

**CXXX.**  
In my paper of Feb. 9, I say that there were five distinctively Protestant bishops in England at Mary's accession, that all five remained, and all were burned. All five that remained were burned. It is true; but there were five more who fled. Their comparative obscurity has made them easy to forget, although I might have remembered that at least three of the four who consecrated Archbishop Parker must themselves have been consecrated before Mary's reign, since only bishops of her appointment accepted the new order.

Speaking of the firm opposition offered by the English Catholics to the bull of deposition issued by Pius V. against Elizabeth, I have said that this Pope withdrew the deposition. It was not this Pope that did so, but his successor, Gregory XIII.

Cardinal Hergenrother is entirely in error when he says that the English Catholics regarded Mary Stuart, not Elizabeth, as legitimate Queen of England. They held Elizabeth, in deed, for an illegitimate child, as well they might, but the most of them owned her for legitimate Queen.

The great Jesuit, Edmund Campion, whom "Johnson's Cyclopaedia" rightly calls "one of the English worthies," said expressly to Elizabeth, in presence: "I own Your Grace for my native and lawful Queen." The highest legal authority of England, the Blessed Thomas More, had laid down the English doctrine, uncontradicted, in these words: "He whom Parliament makes King, is King." Many of the Catholics were willing to rise in order to compel the Queen to proclaim Mary Stuart her presumptive heir, but few of these wished to put her off the throne.

When Philip II attempted this, we know how Catholics and Protestants rose as one man in defence of their country and their mistress. Even Colton, for all his boundless virulence, dwells on the loyalty of the English Catholics, and goes so far as to repeat the story that Elizabeth made a Catholic nobleman admiral of the fleet. The Queen had courage and confidence enough to have done so, had there been occasion, but it is good to ascertain that her kinsman Lord Howard of Effingham was not a Catholic. Indeed his advice for the execution of the Queen of Scots sufficiently shows this.

These American Protestants who are so in terror of the Catholics among us, being in no tremendous a majority, may use some fine day and cut all our throats, will do well to mind that when a wide difference of judgment appeared between the Pope and the English Catholics in a matter of civil allegiance, the Holy See did not insist on carrying its own judgment through, in this matter of temporal policy, but accepted the judgment of the English. Nay, the secular clergy wrote to Rome: "If Your Holiness will come hither as a missionary, we will die at your feet. If you come as an invader, we will die in resisting you."

Yet no excommunications or depositions ensued upon this plain statement. Indeed, the clergy, although unquestionably, in their better knowledge, differing from Cardinal Beaurieu's opinion of the particular facts of this case, were only applying the principle laid down by the great Jesuit in the "De Romano Pontifice," when he says that if the Pope should interfere in a matter of mere civil expediency, he is to be resisted to the utmost, "by refusing to do what he enjoins, and by putting positive obstacles in the way of his will."

So thoroughly does this high Roman and Jesuit authority bear out the statement of the Review, that it is more folly to imagine that Catholics are bound to obey every command of the Pope merely because it is his command, without inquiring into the question whether it is within the papal competency, and if it is, what are the circumstances of its promulgation and the probable consequences of its execution.

Even in a matter purely religious, as Vicar-general Dr. Byrne explains, a Church law, if not of divine right, does not bind to obedience where the consequences of obedience would be calamitous. Rome has always been firm in the maintenance of her superintending authority, but it can not be reasonably denied, that for the most part the successive Pontiffs have hesitated to try, in their discipline, to bear in mind Christ's words: "My yoke is easy, and My burden is light." And as the principles of law become more and more developed, the caprice of an individual, even though he be clothed with "the great mantle," goes for less and less, and, as Dr. Edward McSheehy admirably says, the reason of things goes more and more.

Indeed the question might perhaps now lie open whether it is not to be desired that a pontiff should come, without rude arbitrariness, should startle a sleepy world by a fresh vigor of judicious application of the law of Christ.

Bellarmino puts the principles of obedience in the following form. A papal command, reasonable, and within the papal competence, obliges to obedience, of course after publication by the bishop. A papal command, not sinful, but unreasonably burdensome, may obligate to obedience actually, but not intrinsically. A papal command, if sinful, obliges to positive disobedience. A papal command outside the papal competence must be both passively and actively resisted. There certainly does not seem to be much despotism here. Indeed, Pope Six us V. thought that the Cardinal cut the papal authority too short, and either

ent the work into the Index or was about to do so when he died. I have seen both statements. Yet as the benignant Urban the Seventh, and all his successors, have refused to confirm this somewhat precipitate opinion of the illustrious peasant-pope, Rome has thereby given her honest judgment that the "De Romano Pontifice" makes all due provision for papal supremacy.

However, when bellowing declaimers exultingly exclaim, with upturned eyes: "What a solemn thought, that there are seven hundred Popes (filii) in our army, and that if involved in war, a papal command of withdrawal might suddenly paralyze us by depriving us of the whole seven hundred!" such people ought not to be contradicted. Idiots must be allowed their harmless idiotical amusements. We can not shut them all up in mad-houses or orthopedic schools.

By the way, I should like to know if any one can produce one instance, occurring since the Reformation, in which a Catholic officer or soldier, serving under a Protestant government, has received and obeyed a papal command to quit the service. I do not believe there is such a case, but I am open to the force of facts. They must be very few, for after many years' reading of religious history, I am not able to call a single instance to mind.

There are depressing degrees of idiocy. Our poor soul that has slipped the keeper, declares: "The battle of Winchester would have been lost to the Union if General Sheridan, on his famous ride, had chanced to meet a priest who ordered him to turn about." I am afraid that would have been the priest's last order.

Yet, as men of sense sometimes catch a contagion of lunacy, I would explain to any that are in such a danger that a priest has only spiritual authority; that a bishop has only spiritual authority; and that only over his own diocese; and that although the Roman Church, like every other religious authority in Christendom, claims a right to forbid even a temporal act, which is manifestly contrary to Christianity, this interconnection must be one that is capable of evident demonstration.

We have now enjoyed a long familiarity with Dean Hodges, and are sorry to part with him. His manifold blunders have resulted neither from dulness nor ill will, although we must own that they sometimes bear witness to astounding negligence. His portraiture, whether of men or events, are always genial, and often very illuminating, and are always informed by the most admirable spirit. Facts, whether working against me or against him, are merciless things, and we ought to desire to be thoroughly ploughed up by them. Yet, for a total result of both justice and kindness in viewing the history of the sixteenth century, he well deserves the praise, which is all that many of us can claim:—

"For one ye'll find better it's waur ye'll find ten." Now, once more, disagreeable as it is, we have to descend to that prince of litterateurs, calculators, the Rev. Isaac J. Lansing. There are things still left in that bad book of his that need "redding up."

CHARLES C. STARBUCK.  
Andover, Mass.

## FIVE MINUTES' SERMON.

Palm Sunday.

### SACRILEGIOUS COMMUNION.

"Whoever shall eat this bread or drink the chalice of the Lord unworthily, shall be guilty of the Body and of the Blood of the Lord." (Cor. 11, 27.)

The solemn entry of our Lord into the royal city of Jerusalem, reminds us of His coming at Easter into our hearts in Holy Communion. That which the enthusiastic crowds of people did to celebrate this triumphal entry, should teach us in a significant manner what we should do to prepare ourselves for a worthy reception of Holy Communion. The multitude, who vested themselves of their garments and spread them on the way, we also should do to ourselves of the garments of sin and cast them at the foot of our Saviour, that He may trample upon, and destroy them. The concourse met our Lord with palm branches, we should also meet the King of Angels with the palms of faith, hope, charity and earnest desire. The jubilant throng sang: "Hosanna to the Son of David." We also should receive our Lord in our hearts with earnest prayer and exultation.

All the Jews, however, did not have palm branches and words of joyous acclamation for their Saviour. All did not join in the Hosanna, but a great number gnashed their teeth in hatred and prepared to put Him to death. And is this not a terrible thought—the case with many Christians? Into all hearts our Lord desires to enter at the holy time of Easter, but many have not been divested of the garment of sin, and no Hosanna is sung in His praise; in place of Easter being celebrated in the soul, it is still Good Friday and "Crucify Him" is still heard. You will readily understand of what Christians I speak. I mean the traitor Christians, who knowing they are in a state of mortal sin, unworthily receive the great Sacrament of the Altar.

What does faith teach us of the guilt of such Christians? They commit like Judas, a sacrilege, and draw eternal damnation upon themselves; they commit a sacrilege, yea, the most terrible that can be conceived. A sacrilege is, as you all know, a desecration of something holy; the

holier the object is that is desecrated, the greater is the crime that is committed. What is the most holy object in religion? Is it not the Blessed Sacrament? for our Lord is truly, really and substantially present. If this be desecrated, the holiest of all mysteries, there is no sacrilege that can be compared with it in malice and wickedness. If I tear a crucifix from the altar, spit upon and trample it under foot, it is certainly a great crime, but I merely insult the figure of my Saviour. If I blaspheme Jesus it is certainly diabolical, but I insult Him only in words. If, however, I receive Him in my heart in a state of mortal sin, then I do the same as did the executioners on Calvary. I outrage His own person and nail Him to the cross. The Apostle St. Paul has said: "Whoever shall eat this bread and drink the chalice of the Lord unworthily, shall be guilty of the Body and of the Blood of the Lord." (Cor. 11, 27.)

Such malice and wickedness can be compared only with the crime of Judas, who betrayed his Lord and God. What did Judas do that unhappy day? He went to the high priest and said: "What will you give me and I will deliver Him to you." (Matt. 26, 15.) They appointed him thirty pieces of silver. Now, what does the sacrilegious Christian do? He goes to the devil, to agree with him on a price for which he will deliver his Lord and God; either for a grievous sin which he has concealed in confession: a crime for which he has no contrition; or for an unjust good which he will not restore; in short, he consents to the devil concerning the manner of making a sacrilegious confession, and without warning, neither are the admonitions of Heaven wanting for the sacrilegious Christian. Our Saviour warns through the voice of faith, the voice of conscience and the inspirations of grace; but in vain, the sinner's heart is like that of Judas; it remains callous. The traitorous apostle proceeds from the intention to the act. A kiss, a sign of greatest love is the mask of his terrible, treacherous act. Under the same appearance of love, the sacrilegious Christian performs his diabolical deed. With folded hands and downcast eyes, the image of piety, in presence of the table of the Lord, he approaches his profane lips to the Precious Body of the Lord, and casts Him into the hell of his heart where the devil is enthroned. The fearful crime is consummated, the holiest is desecrated; Satan is triumphant and woe—a thousand woes—resound from the mouths of the angels as well as from the lips of our Lord Himself: "It were better for him if that man had not been born." (Matt. 26, 24.)

My dear Christians, whose heart is not filled with terror at a childish crime? Does not the longanquity of God surprise us, that He does not instantly open hell at the feet of such criminal? However, will not be wanting: it will crush even here on earth; it will strike him with blindness, impotence and hard heartedness. Unless he does sincere penance for his terrible crime it will point out to him in the hour of death the place in hell that is reserved for the most abominable of all sinners.

This, my dearly beloved Christians, is a sacrilegious Communion in its terrible malice and fearful consequences. On, should we not tremble before so diabolical a crime? Should we not according to the admonition of the apostle, examine ourselves, i. e., earnestly examine ourselves if we are endowed with the wedding garment of grace and for this purpose make a sincere and good confession? Ah, yes, whoever loves God and desires to save his soul understands my words and will follow them; he will prepare for Holy Communion in such a manner that the words which the priest speaks when giving Holy Communion may indeed be for him the words of truth viz: May the Body of our Lord Jesus Christ preserve thy soul for life everlasting. Amen.

**DIVORCE—MILTON vs. THE HOLY SEE.**  
WILLIAM F. P. STOCKLEY, IN THE AVE MARIA.

The Independent recently doubted whether an author of "Comus" could ever have said those things about marriage and divorce which he did say, and of which Mr. Gladstone declared that they were words about Christian Englishmen of which a Turk would be ashamed. But Milton was not at all ashamed of what he knew was the anti-Popery. The traditional Christian law, he knew, and said, was the Pope's law. In his "Exposition of Places of Scripture which treat of Marriage" he reminds his readers forcibly, each time they turn to him, of how it is the "Pope's canon law" which checked divorce, as well as other expressions of human frailty desired and sanctioned by the world in low places and in high; which things now again are getting the new idiom of "world's" fashionable acknowledgment.

Gradually the Popes were able to enforce Christianity in its fullness; and for this Milton much blames the Popes. Milton and his audience of course assumed already that all things done by a Pope were bad things. But, to be sure, that old assumption is neither here nor there for us to-day. Whatever conclusions we draw, however, it is well to see the right shoulders burdened.

Strange, even Milton would say, that a Pope should go to so much

# ASK FOR Labatt's (LONDON) TELL YOUR DEALER YOU WANT

The best, and see that you get Labatt's, the best Domestic Ale and Porter on the market. As good as imported and will cost you less.

trouble to take up and support burdens that some lightly judging persons are always willing to lay down. As Archbishop Ireland not long since said: "The report that Pope Leo has granted a full or an *ex vinculo* divorce on the ground of infidelity or the part of the woman is clearly a misinterpretation of the facts in the case. It is certain no divorce has been granted on the ground of infidelity. The positive, inflexible teaching of the Catholic Church is that a valid marriage contract, duly consummated, can not be annulled or made void by any authority in State or Church, death alone terminating its obligations. Where the marriage was from the beginning null and invalid through some natural or canonical impediment, or never fully consummated, even for adultery, dispensations are granted, and often are, obtained from ecclesiastical courts. Nothing beyond this ever occurred or ever can occur in the Catholic Church."

Milton heads one section thus: "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law, encroaching upon civil magistracy abolished all divorce, even for adultery. What the reformed divines have recovered." And he continues: "The blindest and corruptest times of popedom displaced laws of 'civil magistracy,' indeed permitting divorce. . . . The restraint of divorce was one of the first fast-appealing pleas (what a philosophy of history concerning motives in men!) which the Pope had to step into secular authority, and with his anti-Christian (i. e., anti-Pagan) rigor to abolish the permissive law of divorce." "The Pope's canon law,