

which for com-
 was neces-
 saries proving
 Mrs. Kirby,
 asserts it so I
 g statements
 each occasion
 Charles Tulk,
 ton, dares to
 confess his
 silt a desire
 further com-
 mander lately
 (started in
 racher under
 ever have
 composition.
 absence of
 and I been
 something
 would have
 The Lord
 found it to
 vainly reads
 yet not one
 der parties
 White had
 rather to-
 Tulk now
 toy of the

as though
 ch I fully
 ularly ex-
 that Mr.
 his own
 reading
 lo being
 placed
 ere they
 of can-
 es which
 as there-
 ne from
 as ever.

since remained. Again, "In 1851, as the Bishop was expect-
 ed at Beaufet the Church was cleaned up, the ends of candles
 which had grown dirty in the sockets throughout the Church,
 were removed, and clean candles put in their places." That
 all the ends of the candles throughout the Church were re-
 moved is perfectly true, but that clean ones were put in their
 places is equally false; there were two new candles placed
 over the Communion table, *but not one candle in any other
 part of the Church.* This is done in the month of August,
 not for the purpose of lighting the Church, as is cunningly
 insinuated, for evening services; for, with the exception of
 night services during the winter months, there has never to
 my knowledge been a single one performed, but to carry out,
 if possible, that, among other innovations or renovations which
 have caused such disturbances both here and elsewhere.

The declaration of John Hollett, (Appendix No. 4) reveals
 the whole mystery and the alleged motives of Mr. White.
 What but such like proceedings caused our Church to be
 rarely entered by night and the candles, therein displayed,
 cut into pieces and stowed all over it? What caused two thirds
 or more of our congregation to *desert it for months*, and split
 and divided the inhabitants of the place, *father against son,*
 and mother against daughter? Why the answer is easily given,
 Tractarianism and all its concomitant evils. "I have repeat-
 edly told Mr. Collett so and have assured him in the most
 solemn manner that I, and I alone am accountable for this
 offence, &c."—In reply I have to state that Mr. White once
 assured me that it was Mrs. White who induced him to place
 the candles over the Communion table, and in which she con-
 curred, being present.

While upon this candle story, and feeling quite disposed to
 give all the additional light in my power to the vaunted
 light to be derived from two tallow candles or even wax
 ones, I shall wait for Mr. White, together with his two learn-
 ed gentlemen in the law, to bring forward proofs of their
 charge against me, for I find at page 5 in the Appendix to
 Mr. White's Pamphlet—"the third charge is that the Bishop
 required Mr. White to light candles during the day in the
 Church of Harbor Beaufet and on the Communion table, and
 which Mr. White approved and did. We have ascertained
 that this charge is likewise without a shadow of truth. The
 same Mr. Collett who makes this charge, resides at Beaufet,"
 also, "the old and dirtied ends of the candles throughout the
 Church as well as from these candlesticks were removed, and
 clean and whole ones put in their places."