

Employment and Immigration

[Translation]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I was going to put the motions but the hon. member for Bellechasse (Mr. Lambert) rose. I do not want to prevent him from speaking because I do not know whether he wanted to contribute to the debate. Is the House ready for the question.

Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse): Agreed.

[English]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The first question is on motion No. 13 in the name of the hon. member for Winnipeg North (Mr. Orlikow).

All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

Some hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. Members: Nay.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: In my opinion the nays have it.

And five members having risen:

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Accordingly the recorded division on motion No. 13 stands deferred.

The question now is on motion No. 14 in the name of the hon. member for Sault Ste. Marie (Mr. Symes).

All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

Some hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. Members: Nay.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: In my opinion the nays have it.

And five members having risen:

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Accordingly the recorded division on motion No. 14 stands deferred.

The next question is on motion No. 18 in the name of the hon. member for Hamilton West (Mr. Alexander).

All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

Some hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. Members: Nay.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: In my opinion the nays have it.

● (2120)

And five members having risen:

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Accordingly the recorded division on motion No. 18 stands deferred.

The House will now proceed to the division on motion No. 17, standing in the name of the hon. member for New West-

[Mr. Deputy Speaker.]

minster (Mr. Leggatt), which will also dispose of motion No. 6. All those in favour of motion No. 17 will please say yea.

Some hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. Members: Nay.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: In my opinion the nays have it.

And five members having risen:

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Accordingly the recorded division on motion No. 17, which will also dispose of motion No. 6, stands deferred.

It is not possible at this time to put motion No. 16 until a decision has been rendered on motion No. 17. If motion No. 17 is negated, the question will be put on motion No. 16. If it is adopted, then motion No. 16 is automatically disposed of. Is that agreed?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The House will now proceed to the consideration of motions Nos. 20 and 21 appearing in the name of the hon. member for New Westminster (Mr. Leggatt) and the hon. member for Nickel Belt (Mr. Rodriguez). These two motions have been grouped for debate but are to be voted on separately. Does the House agree that they be grouped together for debate?

Mr. Rodriguez: Mr. Speaker, on a point of order, motion No. 20 seeks to do something entirely different from motion No. 21. Motion No. 20 seeks to delete clause 43; motion No. 21 seeks to delete part of clause 48. Those are two entirely different situations and I think they ought to be debated separately.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The point raised by the hon. member for Nickel Belt is well taken. Mr. Speaker indicated that the two motions could be debated separately but left the door open. If the hon. member prefers that they be debated separately, he has made a very good point and so the House will take them one by one.

Mr. Stanley Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre) (for Mr. Leggatt) moved motion No. 20:

That Bill C-27, An Act to establish the Department of Employment and Immigration, the Canada Employment and Immigration Commission and the Canada Employment and Immigration Advisory Council, to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act, 1971 and to amend certain other statutes in consequence thereof, be amended by deleting Clause 43.

Mr. John Rodriguez (Nickel Belt): Mr. Speaker, when Bill C-27 was before committee we had an opportunity to examine one provision of the Unemployment Insurance Act which I have always had difficulty accepting. Clause 43 provides:

Section 47 of the said Act is repealed and the following substituted therefor:

"47. (1) Where the Commission becomes aware of facts that in its opinion establish that a claimant or any person on his behalf has, in relation to a claim for benefit, made a statement or representation that he knew to be false or