ld be no ative engislature iclined to r Officer willingly

Board of ear. We to exclude apils who ols when weshall into our to claim Grant on se pupils. can do no ince to the ch appoint: hrough the he Legislaer to take. ade liberal assurance

ctice of the

give them,)
of pupils in

this year an

ant; instead

expected and

us that the ent year will based on the s the attendbeen nearly ould have ramore. The serious injury us to use, fer naster, funds levote to pronal apparatus, required, and o the pupils. to be given to aim to it lies excluded girls ucation which evious usage, most carnerttion and injusfully ask from portionment of ich "the averortitles out

nest to be in-

force the regulation requiring an average attendance of ten pupils studying Latin. This information is essential, as it will enable us to decide whether we can adopt the non-classical programme for pupils who are not preparing to enter college or to follow the profession of teaching, without thereby endangering the existence of ear school.

In conclusion, we beg again to express the sincore respect and gratitude which we entertain for your constant and most useful exections in the cause of education, and our hope that your personal views will be in favor of an onlarged, liberal, and practical system of Grammar School instruction, such as we believe to have been designed by the Legislature, and such as the people of this Province, expressing their sentiments through the practice of so many local boards, undoubtedly prefer.

We have the honour to be, Sir,
Your obedient servants,

H. HALE, B. A.,
Chairman,
ROBERT COATS,
Treasurer,
JOSEPH WHITEHEAD, M. P.,
A. D. McDONALD,
Minister Can. Pres. Church.
A. S. FISHER,

Reeve of Clinton, Secretary,
Trustees of the Clinton County Grammar School.

W. W. FARRAN,

tNorm.—No reply has yet (August 15, 1868,) been received to the foregoing letter of the Clinton Board. But as the Chief Superintendent's letter of Dec. 30, 1867, which forms part of this correspondence, has been published by him in the Toronto papers of July 31, 1868, with no reference to the arguments urged on the other side of the question in the two letters addressed to him, it has been deemed proper to publish the entire correspondence:]