

parent Churches, would no doubt be willing to submit to such enquiries as those Churches think necessary in admitting strangers to their privileges.

4. It has been said in discussing the subject of Union in the Synod and press of the Presbyterian Church of Canada, in connection with the Church of Scotland, WHY WILL NOT THE OTHERS COME BACK TO US? Let them come back and we will receive them. We have seen that if any section has the right to assume to be the parent church in these Provinces, it is not the church of Scotland, but the U. P. section. And then, apart from the ungraciousness of the proposition; such a proposition as no loving father, to use the favourite metaphor employed on these occasions, would make to his prodigal son, for certainly its spirit is not that of the father in the parable; that proposition cannot be made in sincerity, for 90 out of every 100 of them never were in that church, and so going back "is not the word." There are only about a dozen in the Canada Presbyterian Church, and the same number in the Presbyterian Church of Canada in connection with the Church of Scotland, now remaining of those who belonged to the latter Church in 1844. But if this call to *come back* means that all the Canada Presbyterian Ministers are welcome into the Church of Scotland in Canada, it is enough to show the insincerity of the challenge that some of those putting it forth, have uniformly and with great consistency, from the year 1834 onwards, opposed the admission, on equal terms, of Ministers not educated in connection with the Church of Scotland, either at home or in Canada. The only way to effect Union is to meet on