

it were indulged in in my days there, the man who indulged in it would be laughed to his seat, and I wonder why that did not occur in this House this afternoon. Perhaps the novelty of the situation made us generous and indulgent to the hon. member for York. The hon. member (Mr. McLeod) closed his remarks by saying: the Lord delivered them into our hands, in September 1911, and apparently he said it with the utmost satisfaction—was it in connection with any memories he had? Be that as it may, what happened on the 21st of September, 1911, apparently has resulted in delivering into the hands of certain looters, the St. John River Valley railway, which has been looted from that day to this, and in the judgment of every respectable man in the province of New Brunswick, it stands looted.

This Mr. Gould of New York, whoever he may be, has apparently cast avaricious eyes on the water-power rights in the town of Woodstock, and certainly, if he is desirous of securing any rights to the detriment of the hon. member for Carleton or in competition with any other company, he can congratulate himself that he has, on behalf of the Yankee power company of Aroostook which he represents, a very excellent 'tooter'—perhaps 'touter' is the better word—in the person of my hon. friend from York, because my hon. friend from York was apparently inspired with great glee at the prospect of a Yankee coming over from Aroostook, and seizing for himself and his Yankee associates, power rights in the town of Woodstock. In his closing remarks my hon. friend from York indulged in the usual higher patriotism and he waved the old flag. But, he was delighted at the prospect of Mr. Gould getting these rights in Woodstock, and yet he was one of the clan that indulged in the cry: 'no truck or trade with the Yankees,' a few years ago.

I must apologize, Mr. Speaker, for devoting even so much time to the speech of the hon. member for York, but I did want to say a word on the subject introduced by the hon. member for Carleton.

Mr. A. DeWITT FOSTER (Kings, N.S.): I do not rise for any extended argument on the subject matter brought before the House by the hon. member for Carleton, but I wish to point out that in the course of the remarks made by the hon. member for York, he was interrupted by the hon. member for Carleton, who said that in 1905 he made a speech in this House in which he advocated that the Transcontinental railway should

come down the river St. John valley. I have here 'Hansard' of 1905, and I would like to send it over to my hon. friend from Carleton, and ask him to point out where he is reported as ever having uttered such language.

Mr. CARVELL: It may have been in 1906. That is about the size of the calibre of my hon. friend; I am not surprised that he brought up that question.

Mr. FOSTER: I have also 'Hansard' of 1906 under my hand; and I would like my hon. friend to take 'Hansard' of 1906, or 1907, or 1908, or 1909, or 1910, or any 'Hansard' from the year 1905 to the present time, and point out where he ever made such a speech in this House. Nevertheless he asks hon. gentlemen on this side of the House to accept his word. He can take his own time to point out the place, until the end of this session if he likes.

Mr. CARVELL: Do not worry.

Mr. FOSTER: He says that is about my calibre. Well, if my calibre is getting at the truth, that is calibre enough for me in this House or elsewhere. My hon. friend from Westmorland (Mr. Emmerson), in his few remarks following the hon. member for York, N.B. (Mr. McLeod), deplored the style of language used by that hon. member. I say that there can be no possible comparison between the style of language used by the hon. member for York, N.B., and that used by the hon. member for Carleton, N.B. The hon. member for Westmorland himself was not free from using certain words which would hardly be the most classical language that one would expect from a gentleman so well informed and so learned as my hon. friend. He seemed to think it was a tremendous thing that any one should regard the Premier of New Brunswick with any degree of sympathy. If there is one member in this House that ought to feel tender on a subject of that sort, it is the hon. member for Westmorland. When a man is ill and has been confined to his room for days and weeks, and charges are made behind his back—

Mr. CARVELL: He was present when they were made.

Mr. FOSTER: He is not present here to-day.

Mr. CARVELL: He was in the legislature of New Brunswick when these charges were made.