for the purposes of this section by the Attorney General of a province or the Solicitor General of Canada is satisfied that circumstances exist that would justify the giving of an authorization for the interception of private communications but the urgency of the situation requires that interceptions commence before an authorization could, with reasonable diligence, be obtained, he may, on such terms and conditions, if any, as he considers advisable, give a permit for the interception of private communications between persons, at a place and in a manner designated by him in the permit.

Report to Attorney General or Solicitor General of Canada (2) Where a permit for the interception of private communications is given under subsection (1), the person giving it shall, in every case, forthwith report thereon with full particulars to the Attorney General by whom he was designated for the purposes of this section or to the Solicitor General of Canada, as the case may be, who shall thereupon

(a) direct that an application for an authorization to intercept private communications in the circumstances to which the permit relates be made,

(b) direct that an application for approval of the permit be made, or

(c) revoke the permit or confirm any prior revocation thereof by the person who gave the permit."

(b) lines 14 to 39 at page 8 and substituting the following:

"Where and by whom approval of a permit may be given

(4) An application for approval of a permit for the interception of private communications shall be made *ex parte* and in writing to a judge of a superior court of criminal jurisdiction or a judge as defined in section 482 and shall be signed by an agent who would have been entitled to apply for an authorization to intercept private communications in the circumstances to which the permit relates; and such approval may be given if the judge to whom the application is made is satisfied that, at the time the permit was given, circumstances existed that would have justified the giving of an authorization to intercept private communications in the circumstances to which the permit relates and that the urgency of the situation required that interceptions commence before an authorization could, with reasonable diligence, have been obtained."

was withdrawn.

By unanimous consent, Mr. Lang, seconded by Mr. Munro (Hamilton East), moved,—That Bill C-176, An Act to amend the Criminal Code, the Crown Liability Act and the Official Secrets Act, be amended by striking out line 12 at page 1 and substituting the following:

"given under section 178.13 or subsection 178.15 (2);".

And the question being put on the said motion, it was agreed to.

By unanimous consent, Mr. Lang, seconded by Mr. Munro (Hamilton East), moved,—That Bill C-176, An Act to amend the Criminal Code, the Crown Liability Act and the Official Secrets Act, be amended by striking out line 38 at page 2 and substituting the following:

"with any such authorization;".

And the question being put on the said motion, it was agreed to.

By unanimous consent, Mr. Lang, seconded by Mr. Munro (Hamilton East), moved,—That Bill C-176, An Act to amend the Criminal Code, the Crown Liability Act and the Official Secrets Act, be amended by striking out

(a) lines 10 to 14 at page 15 and substituting the following:

"(b) authorizations given under section 178.15 for which peace officers to be named in the report who were specially designated by him for the purposes of that section made application,"

(b) lines 29 to 32 at page 18 and substituting the following:

"(b) authorizations given under section 178.15 for which peace officers specially designated by him for the purposes of that section made application,".

And the question being put on the said motion, it was agreed to.

By unanimous consent, Mr. Lang, seconded by Mr. Munro (Hamilton East), moved,—That Bill C-176, An Act to amend the Criminal Code, the Crown Liability Act and the Official Secrets Act, be amended by striking out lines 37 and 38 at page 17 and substituting:

"tion referred to in subsection (2), set forth".

And the question being put on the said motion, it was agreed to.

By unanimous consent, it was ordered,—That any recorded division in relation to Bill C-176, An Act to amend the Criminal Code, the Crown Liability Act and the Official Secrets Act, pursuant to section 11 of Standing Order 75 be taken forthwith upon the calling of Government Orders on Tuesday, December 4, 1973, and that third reading of the said bill may be proceeded with at the same sitting.

Mr. Atkey, seconded by Mr. Baker, moved,—That Bill C-176, An Act to amend the Criminal Code, the Crown Liability Act and the Official Secrets Act, be amended