| August 31. Balance of August wages at                  |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|
| \$125 per month  | 20 84  |        |
| December 3. Wages at \$150 per month                   |        |        |
| from August 31<br>Costs as above in Darrah v. Salvator | 465.00 |        |
| Silver Mine Limited                                    | 35 80  |        |
| Amount, exclusive of interest, for which               | 00.00  |        |
| both defendants are liable                             |        | 521.64 |
| December 12. Wages at \$150 from 3rd                   |        |        |
| December for which de-                                 |        |        |
| fendant McLaren only is                                |        |        |
| liable   | 45.00  | 45.00  |

Total liability exclusive of interest...\$1,115.80 \$1,115.80

It is convenient to deal with the liability for costs of the former action and proceedings as above. Interest will run on these several sums from the 28th January, 1914. There will be judgment against the defendant Wright individually for \$549.16 with interest; and against the defendant McLaren individually for \$45 with interest; and against the defendants jointly and severally for \$521.64 with interest and the costs of this action according to the tariff of this Court.

After the evidence had been taken and the case left over for formal judgment, counsel for the defendant McLaren appeared and asked to have the case opened up to enable his client to give his own evidence that he was not a director on the 26th August, 1913, and in fact never became a director. Although the Court had then been sitting for nearly two weeks, and this was the last case but one upon the docket, and although there was no reasonable excuse offered for not being present when the case was called, I would have re-opened the case for admission of a legitimate defence. In view, however, of the fact that the defendant McLaren, in his affidavit filed upon entering an appearance to this action, stated that he became a director on the date claimed, and repeated this on oath in his examination for discovery (answers to questions 14, 15, and 59), and had held himself out as a director, and in the absence of his co-defendant, whose individual liability might be seriously increased, I refused the application, but gave both counsel liberty to file a computation as to the amounts for which judgment should be entered. No statement on behalf of this defendant has been filed.