

The Catholic Record

Price of subscription—\$1.50 per annum... THEODORE COFFEY, L.L.D., Editor and Publisher...

penations for reason in particular cases. Until 1908, however, mixed marriages before a Protestant minister, though illicit, were valid.

he draws the line at distinctly Catholic doctrine. The average Anglican is just a plain Protestant, and will have nothing to do with a sacrificing priest.

favor the continuance in Ireland of a system which was verily a thralldom and which brought in its wake but turbulence, misery and starvation.

A DANGER SIGNAL Mr. A. J. Cadman, of Windsor, Ont., had the hardihood to propose a reform touching the marriage "business" in that city.

of Ontario. It is known to everyone that thousands of people voted against the late Federal government because its Premier was a Catholic.

ings. Mr. Beauchamp must still have retained some Catholic instincts when he tells us that simplicity and faith are above all needed in religion.

LETTERS OF RECOMMENDATION

Mr. Thomas Coffey Ottawa, June 13th, 1905... Dear Sir—Some coming to Canada I have had a number of your papers.

Now, in the eyes of the Church, it is essential to the Sacrament of matrimony that the mutual consent to marriage be absolute.

THE CELEBRATED Hebert case has been once again opened in Montreal and will be watched with interest.

MUNICIPAL MATTERS The London Free Press very truly says "The government of cities and towns is always a vexed question."

OUR NIGHT contemporary, The Antigonish Casket, continues to pay its respects to the Presbyterian Witness and the Rev. Mr. Armitage on matters of controversy.

THE COLONIZATION scheme lately initiated by His Grace the Archbishop of Vancouver is meeting with much favour and it will be a blessing to many of our people if success crowns the efforts of that apostolic and zealous prelate.

ANTI-CATHOLIC FALSEHOODS Almost every day a fugitive paragraph, reflecting upon the Catholic Church, may be found in the weekly or daily papers.

THE MARRIAGE QUESTION

"Most marriages are made by God but some are made by the devil." The Rev. John L. Scouder, one of the most forceful and able preachers in New York, thus delivers himself on the question of marriage and divorce.

PROTESTANT SYMPATHY WITH ANTI-CLERICALS That Protestant sympathy goes out so freely to the anti-clericals of Catholic countries, is not, perhaps, very surprising.

THE NEW LEADER Mr. Andrew Bonar Law has been unanimously elected leader of the Unionist Party in the English House of Commons.

WE ARE SURPRISED Rev. J. G. Inkster, Presbyterian, of this city, we take to be a good, well-meaning clergyman.

THE RETURNED MISSIONARY When a Catholic missionary proceeds to China, Africa or any other foreign country he goes to remain with the people whom he desires to bring to the knowledge of the Gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ.

THE GREATEST FAILURE IN HISTORY In a speech delivered in England during the recent Home Rule campaign, described the legislative union between Great Britain and Ireland as the greatest failure in history.

THE COLONIZATION scheme lately initiated by His Grace the Archbishop of Vancouver is meeting with much favour and it will be a blessing to many of our people if success crowns the efforts of that apostolic and zealous prelate.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

HERE WE HAVE the case for divorce as it is usually made out by its sentimental and shallow advocates. A particular case is taken, or imagined, the vocabulary of hell is exhausted in gross exaggeration of its horrors, and an universal conclusion is triumphantly drawn in defiance of logic, facts and common sense.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.

THE DIVORCE COURT has to separate the sheep from the goats, to decide that this couple were married by the devil, then pliously put them asunder, and undo the devil's work.