## The New Taxes

The Changes Now Made in the Income Tax Law Will Not Affect the Figures of 1918-19 Revenue, as the Increased Taxes are to be Applied to the Taxpayer's 1918 Incomes and Will be Payable in June, 1919.

## By H. M. P. ECKARDT

Before the war the customs collections constituted from 60 to 65 per cent. of the Dominion Government's derived from the various soll Thousands omitted.) 1914-15. 1915-16. 1916-17. *1917-18. Customs . . . . 75,941 \$ 98,648 \$134,043 $\$ 143,311$ Exeise . . . . . $21,479 \quad 22,428 \quad 24,412 \quad 26,677$ Post office. Public Works. Miscellaneous $\begin{array}{rrrr}21,479 & 22,428 & 24,412 & 26,677 \\ 13,046 & 18,858 & 20,902 & 20,774 \\ 12,953 & 18,286 & 24,440^{-} & 27,200\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}9,652 & 12,924 & 28,901 & 24,408\end{array}$
$\$ 133,073$ \$172,147 \$232,701 \$252,372 *The figures for 1917-18 are the unrevised figures representing only the receipts and payments passed through the books of the Finance Department up to the evening of March 31st, 1918. In his budget speech, Hon. A. K. Maclean, acting Minister of Finance, tale that rear be mes the total revenue for the fiscal year would be $\$ 258$, 000,000 , and that customs collections would amount to $\$ 146,000,000$.
The above figures show that the proportion of revenue contributed by the customs has remained remarkably steady. For $1914-15$ the percentage is for 1917-18, based on the figures referred to in the budget speech, it is $561 / 2$. The sharp rise in customs revenue during the fiscal year ending March, 1916, was due largely to the general increase of $71 / 2$ per cent. in customs duties instituted at the outset of the war. This was operative only for a part of the preceding fiscal year; and, also, international trade, especially that carried on with overseas countries, was badly disorganized in the earlier months of the war. Then the further large increase in 1916-17 was due to the great expansion of our import trade. which arose from the phenomenal development of war industries. Dutiable imports in 1915-16, at $\$ 289,000$, nd ere 810000000 greater then in 1914-15\% 00, were 17 the total of such imports had risen but in 1910 mports in 1917-18 rose further, to $\$ 542,000,000$ (the customs revenue showing an increase of $\$ 12,000,000$ the gains were made in the early months of the year during the later months there was a declining tend ency which has led to the belief that in the current fiscal year, 1918-19, the customs revenue will no show an increase over the preceding year.
All of the other items of revenue reported in the monthly statements of the Department show substantial development. Taking the excise duties, the lotal has risen from $\$ 21,000,000$ to $\$ 27,000,000$. How ever, in view of the recent important change in the status of the liquor traffic, it is to be expected that subsequent yearly statements will show a decline in the revenue from excise. With reference to the post office, the revenue has been developed from $\$ 13,000,000$ to over $\$ 20,000,000$; but in this case the expense or cost operating has also risen, and the Treasury perhaps does not derive a very large increase of net gain. Revenue from public works has more than doubled - the total rising from about $\$ 13$, 000,000 to more than $\$ 27,000,000$. Under this heading the earnings from Government railways are placed; and if the operations of the extensive properties recently acquired, represented by the National Transcontinental and the Canadian Northern, by virtue of the rate increase, result in satisfactory net profits, this item may show increases. It will be taken as a most unsatisfactory development if the huge new investments and commitments of the Government in turns.
In case of the remaining item - miscellaneous revenue - we find an example of remarkable development; and it is also to be observed that the budget address will und as enunciated in further important increases. One may easily see that this' item is destined to take a much more important place in the list of revenue sources. At the beginning of the war it was at the bottom of the list. Now it ranks
next to the customs; and in the next two years, un less new headings are raised in the statement, its position relative to the customs is likely to be conrevenue represented. $71 / 4$ per cent the miscellaneous year the percentage was but slightly higher, $7 \%$, in 1916-17, as a result of the first application of excess profits taxation, the percentage rose to $121 /$; and in the year fust ended, there was a further rise - to $131 / 2$ per cent. The revenue collected under this head in 1917-18 was 250 per cent. greater than in 1914-15. Most of this increase resulted from the excess profits tax-Hon. Mr. Maclean stated that this tax gave $\$ 21,200,000$ during the past year. It would add to $\$ 21,200,000$ during the past year. It would add to the partment, which are now closely studied in all of the coun if a parts the excess profits it would pe well to have such an important item set forth. Even though the ing igures clearly set forth. Even though the impost is tegarded as a war measure, to be discarded perhaps when peace re-
turns or shortly afterwards, the business community ty would like to be informed in the regular official statements as to the revenue thus collected. Doubin its monthly revenue statement, That will pryand view of the dirla pockets of the people it is advisale it touches the tion be given. Cople will presur. Collections under the old income tax will presumably commence next month, and the June statement of revenue should be importantly affected by them. It is worth noting that the income tax payments in June will come in opportunely for meeting the first half yearly coupon of the Victory Loan. Allowing for the large amounts of old bonds converted, the interest payable June 1st will approximate $\$ 15,000,000$.
tax will yet not known precisely what the income
cax will yield in 1918, although the Government of-

AMOUNTS MARRIED PERSONS WILL BE TAXED.

The following table shows the effect of the proposed income tax amendments, payable by married persons

| Income. | Present tax. | Proposed tax. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 3,000$ | nil | 20 |
| 5,000 | 120 | 140 |
| 10,000 | 360 | 392 |
| 20,000 | 1,260 | 1,382 |
| 30,000 | 2,460 | 2,707 |
| 50,000 | 5,260 | 5,782 |
| 75,000 | 10,010 | 11,007 |
| 100,000 | 14,760 | 1700 |
| 200,000 | 43,760 | 50,957 |
| 400,000 | 101,760 | 142,757 |
| 600,000 | 130,700 | 195,407 |

COMPARISON OF CANADA WITH U. S

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Canada. } \\ \text { Mar'd pers. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { United States. } \\ \text { Mar'd pers. }\end{array}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mar'd pers. |  |  |  |$\}$

ficials, from the returns now in hand, probably know how much to expect. These tax payments, constituting an entirely new source of revenue, as a1ready mentioned will swell the figures of the current year - probably they will be sufficient to offset or more than offset any decrease that may occur in the customs collections. The changes now made in the income tax law will not affect the figures of 1918-19 income tax law will not affect the figures of 1918-19 the taxpayers' 1918 incomes, and will presumably bo payable in June 1919. The 2 per payable comes of single persons $y$. per year, and on married persons making from $\$ 2,000$ arge incomes year, along with the increased tax on arge incomes and the increase of the corporation in ome tax, whil undoubtedy mean a considerable en argement of revenue next year; but, until the re thens of the newly included small incomes are filed, the Government probably cannot estimate closely the mount of prospetive

Considerable new revenue will also be derived from The extension of the scope of the excess profits tax The number of tax-paying firms and corporations will e multiplied by placing the exemption limit at $\$ 25,000$ capital instead of $\$ 50,000$ as heretofore, just as the number of income tax payers will be multiplied by the lowering of the exemption limit applying to in dividual incomes. On the other hand some of the large corporations ${ }^{-}$which contributed heavily last year may'pay less this year and next, owing to de ceasing profits. The other special imposts provid ed for in the budget will all contribute their share revenue, and some of them will doubtless yiel fairly large amounts. Among these stands out promi nently the special war excise tax of 10 per cent. on automobiles, jewellery, gramophones, piano and organ players and records. No one knows as yet how much revenue will be collected by means of this impost Also unknown is the effect that will be'produced upon the spendings of the people. If this tax pro duces good results, perhaps the Government will be moved later to extend its scope so as to include oth er non-essential articles. On general principles it certainly seems wise to tax expenditures, especially unnecessary expenditures, and in that way discrim inate to a certain extent in favor of the individuals who are severely curtailing their expenditures upon non-essentials and so saving more money for investment in war bonds.

HOW INCOME TAX AFFECTS THE UNMARRIED. One phase of the new taxation proposals which caused considerable interest, especially amongst bachelors and spinsters, was as to how they would be affected. Here is a table showing the present

| Income. | Present Tax. | New Tax. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 1,000 | exempt | exempt |
| 1.100 | exempt | \$ 2.00 |
| 1.200 | exempt | 4.00 |
| 1,300 | exempt | 6.00 |
| 1.400 | exempt | 8.00 |
| 1,500 | exempt | 10.00 |
| 1,600 | \$ 4.00 | 14.00 |
| 1,700 | 8.00 | 18.00 |
| '1,800 | 12.00 | 22.00 |
| 1,900 | 16.00 | 26.00 |
| 2,000 | 20.00 | 30.00 |
| 3,000 | 60.00 | 70.00 |
| 4,090 | 100.00 | 110.00 |
| 5,000 | 140.00 | 150.00 |
| 6,000 | 180.00 | 190.00 |
| 7,000 | 240.00 | 262.50 |
| *8,000 | 300.00 | 325.50 |
| *9,000 | 360.00 | 388.50 |
| *10,000 | 420.00 | 451.50 |
| *-Including super tax. |  |  |

"The cost of the principal fire losses in the United Kingdom during March," says the London Times, "may be estimated at $£ 337,400$, which compares with losses of $£ 297,700$ in February last and $£ 354,600$ in quarter amount to the total losses for the last quarter amount to $£ 1,017,600$, as against $£ 1,288,400$ in the first quarter of 1917. Only fires are taken into account in which the damage amounted to £1000

