

charged by having the Secretary-General himself named for the purpose in the Security Council's Resolution.

3. We would not, I suppose, wish to oppose directly any general view that a Committee should be set up; on the other hand we are inclined to hope that the proposal is not put forward, particularly so if the membership were to extend beyond those making actual contributions to the Korean operations. Ends.

35. DEA/50069-A-40

*Le délégué permanent par intérim auprès des Nations Unies
au secrétaire d'État aux Affaires extérieures*

*Acting Permanent Delegate to United Nations
to Secretary of State for External Affairs*

TELEGRAM 443

New York, July 5, 1950

SECRET. MOST IMMEDIATE.

Repeat Washington No. 46.

KOREA

Cordier telephoned me this morning to say that he would like to have the Canadian views today on the draft resolution which, he said, was being "passed around". He gave me the gist of this resolution which seemed to me identical with the resolution mentioned by Mr. Wrong in paragraph 2 of his WA-1473. The tentative paragraphs concerning a Security Council Committee were omitted. Cordier added, however, that the idea of a Committee of the Security Council had been "floating around". He had not found very much enthusiasm for it. There was the problem of membership and the problem of functions. The latter problem seemed to be clearing up sooner than the former. There were two ideas about a Committee — one that it should consist of the members of the Security Council; the other that it should include the major contributors. I told him that I had had some talk with you on this subject and that I thought you considered the idea of a Committee consisting of contributors would lead to conflict and confusion.

2. After consultation by telephone with LePan. I spoke to Cordier again. I said that we thought the third section of the draft resolution should conclude after the word "command", omitting "under the United States". The reasons for our views were two. In the first place, as the whole purpose of this resolution was to stress the United Nations character of the operation, we thought it best in principle to reduce the number of references to the United States. In the second place, we thought the United States' substantive point was met sufficiently in the next paragraph. Cordier indicated agreement with our views on this matter.

3. I said that we would also prefer that the sixth section of the draft resolution be altered to request the commander to provide reports to the Security Council. Cordier said that for strictly constitutional reasons they had the same preference as we. As I would understand, however, there was the problem of personality involved,