

THE EVENING TIMES.

ADVERTISEMENTS IN THE TIMES YIELD RESULTS.

THE WEATHER. Fresh north to north west winds, clearing and cool tomorrow.

VOL. I, NO. 190.

ST. JOHN, N. B., WEDNESDAY, MAY 10, 1905.

ONE CENT.

NEW PHASE OF COALING INCIDENT

Alleged That Russians Have Bought Land and Established Depot--Fleets Have Effected Junction--French Government Wish to Observe Neutrality.

PARIS, May 10.—The Marquis de Barthélemy, who with Count de Pourtales operates the French consulates at Kanmawh Bay, in the course of an interview today, denies that his establishments furnished coal or provisions to the Russian second Pacific squadron. He said: "The maximum of our coaling facilities is 700 tons. A small coaling depot exists on the Mekong river, not far from Saigon. At the outset, the Russians bought a large tract of land there and erected sheds and accumulated supplies, particularly coal, which was bought originally from Japan. It is to this depot that Admiral Rojstvensky sent his coaling, but no supplies approached the depot. This was Russian coal, placed upon Russian land and loaded upon Russian transports. This was not a violation of neutrality, France could not prevent the Russians taking their own coal from their own land. If the natives were in fault in selling the land to the Russians, the Japanese would not have waited months before proceeding."

Although the Marquis de Barthélemy gives the foregoing as a refutation of the charges, the statement concerning the Russian coal depot on the Mekong may open a new phase of the question.

The Fleets Join. Admiral de Jonquieres (the French naval officer) confirms the announcement of the departure of the Russian squadron from off Yankong Bay. The report of the junction of Rear-Admiral Nebogatoff's division with the main Russian squadron under Admiral Rojstvensky, outside of French waters, is also confirmed to be official. Premier Rouvier conferred with Foreign Minister Delcasse today concerning the pending situation, but it is reported the conversation related mainly to internal affairs.

French Comment. PARIS, May 10.—The arrival in Paris yesterday of Paul Cambon, the French ambassador in London, following his conference with Foreign Secretary Lansdowne, probably will permit the authorities here to learn the sentiments in British official circles concerning French observations of neutrality. Thus far only informal representations have been made from Great Britain, but it is generally gathered that informal talks between officials in London and Paris have permitted the British authorities to see friendly councils with both sides towards preventing the controversy from assuming a serious form.

Japs to Govern Liao Tung. TOKIO, May 10.—Japanese civil administration of occupied territory includes only those portions which Russia formerly administered upon and is practically limited to the Liao Tung peninsula. Military control is continued in Chinese territory occupied by Japanese troops, but Chinese sovereignty is recognized. Chief Counselor Ishihara, of the Foreign administration will be the administrative officer of Liao Tung peninsula, and the staff, holds frequent conferences with MM. Bourcier and Delcasse relative to orders to the French squadron in the Far East. The officials here, having done their utmost, are holding Admiral de Jonquieres and other officers in Indo-China personally responsible for the execution of their orders. The newspapers do not show a menacing attitude.

The Neutrality Question. TOKIO, May 10.—The Jiji hopes that France will cease her flagrant and deliberate breaches of her pledges of neutrality in reference to the treatment accorded the second Russian Pacific squadron. French representations to the government in Paris, showing that England is alive to the danger menacing Japanese interests. The Nippon says that French interest in Russia is more of a financial and economical character than political and that the alliance between the countries has ceased to be of any practical benefit in Europe.

WITH THE RUSSIAN ARMY

"Papa" Linvitch is Every Inch a Soldier--No Aims, But Plain Words and Constant Discipline--The Men Suffer, But Are Cheerful.

(Correspondence of the Associated Press.) GUNSEU PASS, April 22.—Day after day, since his assumption of the command, General Linvitch goes steadily along his inspection of the troops, both those in positions and the units arriving from European Russia. Mounted on a small sorrel horse, wearing an old overcoat devoid of embroidery and trappings, and with only the simplest indication of rank, Linvitch, accompanied by his adjutant, two orderlies and the chief of staff, rides along company after company, battery after battery, finding something to say to each, sometimes a jest, sometimes a reproof, recognizing here and there veterans, not only of the Chinese expedition, but also of the Turkish campaign. This simplicity, and the faith the soldiers have in the fighting ability of "Papa" Linvitch, make a most inspiring impression on the troops. Regularly the termination of the reviews General Linvitch gathers about him the chiefs of the various sub-divisions and in brief, incisive and oft-times quaint words, sets forth the situation of affairs, the condition of the troops and his demands, orders and suggestions for improvement. He uses no pretty phrases full of the self-abnegation, promises and transparent hopes to which the officers were formerly accustomed, but the language of a soldier breathing strength, determination, firm faith and full realization that his task is more difficult and heavy with work for him and his subordinates. Even the non-military observer can not fail to be struck by the better in comparison with the Kurapatkin regime. Jealousy and bickering have notably decreased. There is apparently less spirit of self-advance, more subordination and team work. The subordinate with a plan or criticism is given a hearing, and suggestions are made by a ride along the line is strong. It is difficult to believe that the well-dressed, well-shod troops, heavy in spirit and even say of voice are the same men who a month ago underwent such superhuman hardships and the supreme test of a mortifying defeat. In the corps of General Terpsky, which was holding the extreme southwestern angle of the positions at Mukden and which stood the brunt of fierce Japanese attacks almost parade order prevailed. The troops on the positions, officers included, are now living in tents, various considerations rendering the housing of the men in tents impracticable, though the dampness of the ground and climatic conditions are not especially favorable to life under canvas. A great deal of discomfort is the result. Difficulties in provisioning the troops also add to the discomfort, but with warmer weather the present array of insignificant skirmishing and outpost work may be expected to give way to serious operations.

HUMORS OF HISTORY--34.



Gallant Conduct of William of Normandy, 14 Oct., 1066

"At the battle of Hastings the fortunes of the day were at one time going against the Normans, and intelligence reached William, who surrounded by his staff, was watching the battle, and galloped down the line. The soldiers recognised him, and turned with renewed courage to the attack, with the result that the English were defeated, Harold was killed, and the old Saxon line came to an end."

The New History of England.

It expresses the belief that France is upholding the alliance because her honor is pledged diplomatically. TOKIO, May 10.—The reports that Japan plans to order the construction of two battleships and six large cruisers abroad are renewed. The representatives of English naval constructors are here, endeavoring to secure orders, but it is doubtful if the government has reached any decision in the matter.

German Officers. FRANKFURT, Germany, May 10.—The Frankfurt Zeitung today says it has received advice from Hamburg to the effect that agents of the Russian government through the assistance of German captains and officers of the commercial marine, for the enlistment of officers and crews for transport ships which are to accompany the fifth division of the Russian second Pacific squadron for the Far East. The crews, it is added, will proceed to Libau, on the Baltic Sea.

DIDN'T WANT TO GET WET. A Slick Umbrella Thief Operating on Union Street. Had it not been for the vigilance of one of his neighbors Robert Ledingham would have been minus two umbrellas. Mr. Ledingham, who conducts a grocery store on Union street, had placed a number of umbrellas outside his door, evidently in hope that passer-by would, in view of the approaching shower, purchase one, rather than go home drenched with rain. The gentle stranger, who apparently had adopted the time worn motto: "Take all that is given away, and what you can't take, give away," was quietly up to the door, seized a couple of the umbrellas and wended his way up street. A neighbor, who caught him in the act, informed an employer, who lost no time in recovering the goods. The wily gentleman was evidently a foreigner and had been indulging in alcoholic stimulants. No arrest has yet been made.

DOMINION COAL OVER-SUBSCRIBED. MONTREAL, May 10.—(Special)—The Bank of Montreal announces that the issue of bonds and preferred stock of the Dominion Coal Co. to replace oil issues of same were largely over-subscribed. The extensive advertising of the new issue of the Dominion Coal Company in all the leading newspapers of the country and the United States, has not been without good results as there is indication that the \$5,000,000 first mortgage, 5 per cent 30-year sinking fund gold coupon bonds and the new preferred stock now offered for subscription by the Bank of Montreal, Canadian Bank of Commerce, and the above mentioned banks. According to reports from Boston there have already been applications amounting to \$2,000,000. This includes some rather heavy subscriptions from London. Holders of the old bonds and preferred stock should have deposited their securities before the close of today's business in order to take advantage of the special offer made in this connection.—(Montreal Witness).

CANADA AND AUSTRALIA. OTTAWA, May 10.—(Special)—The Trade and Commerce department has heard nothing from the Union Steamship Co. stating that it threatens to discontinue the Canadian-Australian service on the Pacific unless its subsidy is increased. As Canada contributes most of the subsidy it is thought the company would communicate with Ottawa if it proposed abandoning the service. Battle line steamship Leucata sailed from Hamburg yesterday at 5 p.m. for Savannah. C. P. R. steamship Lake Erie left Liverpool yesterday for Montreal at 4 p.m.

Y. M. C. A. IN ROME. ROME, May 10.—A largely attended meeting of the Young Men's Christian Association was held here today in honor of James Stokes, of New York, who donated the funds for the erection of the association's building in Rome. Nearly all the notabilities of the American and British colonies were present. As Ottawa today veterans of 1866 and 1870 were received by Sir Wilfrid Laurier, Sir Frederick Borden and Hon. Meagan, Fielding and Lemont. They asked each receive a grant of 100 acres of land in the northwest. The premier promised consideration.

THE TIMES NEW REPORTER. The fountain on King square got another bath yesterday. A kindly Providence turned the water on from the clouds. EVERYBODY SAFE. The Times new reporter paid his respects to Deputy Mayor Lewis this morning, and found him immersed in a book on Prison Reform. The Deputy Mayor welcomed his visitor and offered him two chairs. "It is unusual," said the new reporter, "that you intend to take advantage of the mayor's absence and settle all the questions now before the council." The Deputy Mayor replied that he did not intend to do anything of the kind. He would try to keep the old craft moving, holding her course as usual. Indeed, pounds each. Indeed Jamey must have lost, as he did not bring any of those home with him. They were great fish, such as are found only in Full Moon Lake, and always stay there. Jamey is going out again next week, and his friends eagerly await the result of his skill with the rod.

THE CONCORERS. There was a meeting of concorers this morning. They had learned that they were not to be paid by the municipal council for twenty-five views held during the year. It was decided to hold a joint meeting of the municipal council, which was held at the City Hall, and the concorers asserted had outlived its usefulness. The turn of the city council will come next. The verdict in both cases will be the same—talked to death.

LOT'S OF SPORT. Mr. Jamey Jones arrived in the city this morning from Full Moon Lake. He brought with him ninety-six hundred dozen fine trout, weighing from six ounces to half a pound. Jamey's friends are eating fish today, and not one of them doubts the truth of his statements that he lost several fish weighing four to five pounds each. Indeed Jamey must have lost, as he did not bring any of those home with him. They were great fish, such as are found only in Full Moon Lake, and always stay there. Jamey is going out again next week, and his friends eagerly await the result of his skill with the rod.

DYNAMITE FOR NEXT SUNDAY

Alarming Reports of Outbreaks in Russia -- Killing Jews in Some Sections--Great Strike Is Threatened at Kieff--Universal Suffrage Demanded.

ST. PETERSBURG, May 10.—At a large meeting of workmen today which was attended by delegates from St. Petersburg and a number of masked men, it was decided to proclaim a three days' strike in connection with labor day, May 14. It was further determined to serve fresh demands on the employers, coupled with the intimation that if they were not complied with inside of 12 hours, the destruction of the factories by fire would follow.

Bombs and Dynamite. ST. PETERSBURG, May 10.—The social democrats declare that their programme includes demonstrations throughout Russia on Sunday and that they are provided with bombs and dynamite with which to fight the troops if the latter interfere. Precautionary measures have been taken everywhere and the authorities are convinced that the disorders will be comparatively insignificant. The cool-headed liberals are counselling the workmen against useless bloodshed. KIEFF, Russia, May 10.—A general strike and extensive demonstrations are expected here on the Russian May Day.

Woman Brutally Attacked and Badly Hurt by Unknown Scoundrel. FREDERICTON, N. B., May 10.—(Special)—Miss Nellie Corbett, aged forty-five, was the victim of a dastardly outrage here last evening. She resides at Forest Hill, and while passing Somerville Place, Waterloo Row, on her way home about nine o'clock was approached from behind by an unknown man who struck her a vicious blow in the face. She was knocked down and partially stunned by the shock, but remembers that the man caught hold of her and dragged her in behind a shed. Here she managed to reach her home, and had Dr. Weaver called in. The physician says her condition is serious, but not necessarily dangerous. In addition to cuts on the head, the woman's right eye is discolored. It was quite dark at the time the outrage was committed, and the witness is unable to describe her assailant. The police are working diligently on the case, but so far have no tangible clue. Only a few nights ago a girl was assaulted by an unknown man on College road. The water in the river here rose about two inches last night. The weather has cleared today. Mr. Robert Thorburn, an aged lady, is quite ill at her home here. Col. Wadmore leaves this afternoon for St. John's (Que.) to meet Col. Vidal and inspect the troops quartered at that place.

CONCERNING TELEPHONES. President Sise, of the Bell Co. Before the Committee -- Dr. Stockton Tomorrow. OTTAWA, Ont., May 10.—(Special)—At the meeting of the special committee on the Bell Telephone Co., held at the Bell Telephone Co., was again on the stand. Mr. Chrysler, counsel for the company, did not keep separate accounts. The earnings from long distance messages were kept separate from the earnings from local, but this did not show the cost of carriage. Senator Thompson wrote a letter stating it would be awkward for the security of the new Brunswick Telephone Company for the manager to go to Ottawa and give evidence. The senator offered to give evidence, and stated Dr. A. A. Stockton, a director, was willing to give evidence. It was decided to hear them tomorrow.

SOCIETY AT HORSE SHOW. Montreal Event Opens Under Most Favorable Conditions -- Earl Grey There. MONTREAL, May 10.—(Special)—The sixth annual horse show opened here this morning with a preliminary trial of 37 horses entered in the Coridan class, and judging of combination saddle and harness harness. The number of entries from outside the city has been made this year, and in every way, if the beautiful weather of today holds good, the show will be the best of the season. The social side gives indications of developing to a marked extent, and the number of private entertainments by box holders very large. His Excellency Earl Grey will be at the show tonight to attend the official opening. He is being entertained at luncheon today by W. R. Miller, master of the Montreal Hunt. Lady Drummond entertained at luncheon for Lady Sibyl and Evelyn Grey.

TELEPHONES AND RAILWAYS. D. McNicol of the C. P. R. Tells Telephone Committee the 'Phone Will Supersede the Wire for Railway Work. OTTAWA, Ont., May 10.—(Special)—D. McNicol, second vice-president and general manager of the Canadian Pacific Railway was the first witness at the telephone committee yesterday. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find their way into railway stations, subject of course to the Railway Commission. Mr. McNicol was examined by Mr. Chrysler in regard to the agreement that existed between the C. P. R. and the Bell Co. The last agreement was dated May 1902. Mr. McNicol also recited the agreement his company had with other telephone companies in British Columbia, New Brunswick and elsewhere. In reply to Mr. Aylesworth, Mr. McNicol said that his company had contracts with the Bell Company as far back as 1891. All of these contracts had exclusive features. The company paid \$1,288,000, which had been made by some one. It was absolutely incorrect. At that time the capital of the Bell Company was only \$1,228,000 and the paid up capital \$80,000, which showed how the amount of the agreement, but in his judgment he got ahead of the Bell people in that bargain. The use of telephones for railways was getting more important daily. The day was coming when railways would have to use their own telephones or railway operations. For short distances the telephone was superior to the telegraph. He was now experimenting with the telephone for train service. W. F. McLean took the witness in hand and endeavored to show that the C. P. R. in its contract with the Bell Company was discriminating against the public under the railway act of 1883. Under that act there could be no such exclusive contracts. In spite of any exclusive contracts with the Bell Company, telephone companies had a right to find