E4

Here are dives conactually umber of case can

ount paid. \$2,456 1,447 1,563

587 2,293 4,440 908 1,981

the two
ch is the
ed as far
and in
hen it is
ment of
rom \$250,l be seen
stem may
s bad for

GES. ed Years or

Your cor-Murray, and Pron respect ients disbridges. I can well ish to say bridges of ose to do made an

ill discuss ally the ibly. hy laughd to Mr. oout the m bridges. ing about r to comyou may Yova Scoe hundred ter. Our wenty-five

ally much

akout bridges built since Premier Emmerson's time, but I do know that our bridges are as I have told you, good for a hundred years or more. I am sorry I can't give you more information, but I'm not in a position to do so."

DR. STOCKTON'S VIEWS.

From His Address to the Electors.

Our system of auditing the public accounts should be entirely changed. The auditor general of this province holds his office at the pleasure of the government of the lay. He can be dismissed from office at any time. At Ottawa it is not so. The auditor general there is independent of the government. It should be the same in New Brunswick. It should be his duty to see that no accounts are paid unless authorized by law; that youchers are produced for each payment, and all accounts, showing in detail the items, on which payments are made should be filed in his office. It is almost needless to say we have no such system at present.

The expenditure of public money should be by public competition and tender. Thousands of Joliars under the present government have been expended yearly by private contract without competition.

GIVEN TO FAVORITES.

The work has been friends and favorites of the government to the advantage of the contractor, but not in the interest of the taxpayer. To ensure honesty and economy there should be public competition and tender, and the successful tenderer should be compelled faithfully to carry out the terms of his contract. The names of all the tenderers and the amounts for public services should appear annually in some public report. In Nova Scotia, for the construction of bridges, the names of all the tenderers and the amounts are published yearly in the provincial engineer's report. should have a similar system in this province. Such a system honestly carried out would have saved New Brunswick, luring the past few years, tens of thousands of dollars. The people are entitled to the fullest information out do not get it.

The expenditure on by-roads is not satisfactory. We must have good reads. They add to the value of every farm along which they pass.

Here the evils of favoritism are particularly seen.

THE BY-ROAD MONEY.

. The political necessities of the government have led hand over the by-road money to their friends in the different counties, not so much for improving the roads as to strengthan the government. I am satisfied it would be better for the road service, as far as practicable, to allow the by-road money to be spent through the municipalities. Those having local knowledge, and who are directly interested in having good roads, under that system would be responsible for spending the money to the best advantage. It would still be the duty of the government to see that the municipal officers faithfully spent the money.

The Highway act of 1896 is not satisfactory as a general law. It has too much natchinery. When the bill was before the house members of the opposition suggested amendents, which, if accepted by the government, would have made it more satisfactory. The act of 1886, with some amendments, would be a much better law.

TWO BRIDGES BETTER THAN
TWO PRICES.

The expenditure on great roads and bridges is made through the department of public works. In addition to the yearly grant the government have given bonds to the amount of ever half a million dellars for building that they call permanent bridges. For the superstructure of all those oridges built within the last five years, so far as can be ascertained, Mr. Emmerson, without comretition or tender, has paid two prices, and in some cases even more. The province should have two bridges where there is but one. It would have been far better in the public interest to have paid a fair price and have two bridges than two prices and have but one brilge. The application of ordinary business principles would have savel large sums of money. Mr. Emmerson and his government do not wish to face an investigation before a committee of the legislature, and the present election is brought on to escape full exposure. This is the hope and expectation of the government. Is it possible that the public conscience of the province is so dead as to condone such acts on the part of Mr. Emmerson and his government? I cannot think so.