the borough; none of the money, however, was given to voters. a proceeding was held not to be a corrupt practice within the Act. Youghal Election, 1 O. & H. 294. Where a candidate gave a subscription to an Orange Lodge, although he was not an Orangeman properly so-called, nor were his opinious identical with those of the Lodge, the Judge remarked, "The profession by a candidate of holding certain opinions is a legitimate mode of influencing votes; and if the respondent thought that it would be for his benefit with reference to his election, to inform Orangemen and others that he did entertain opinions in favour of institutions of this kind, I can see nothing illegitimate in that. The case appears to me identically the same as if he had written a pamphlet in support of such institutions as Orange halls, and had paid the printer for publishing it. Per O'Brien, J., Belfast Election, 1 O. & H. 282. Where it was proved that a candidate gave very liberal subscriptions to churches, the Court said, "We strongly impress it upon candidates and their agents at future elections, to exhibit a larger measure of caution, and to select less suspicious seasons for exercising their liberality towards charitable and religious objects. Per Hagarty, C. J., South Huron Election, 24 C. P. 497.