

Pursuant to Standing Order 39(4), the following seven Questions were made Orders of the House for Returns:

No. 365—*Mr. Leggatt*

1. What funding, by agency, to the Arctic Institute of Canada, has taken place each year since 1968?

2. What is the relationship of the Arctic Institute to the US Central Intelligence Agency?

3. What funding has gone or is committed to the Canadian operation of the Hudson "Think Tank"?

4. Of the amount that the Hudson "Think Tank" received, how much has come from the Departments of (a) National Defence (b) the Solicitor General (c) Supply and Services?

5. What position does Marie-Josie Beaulac hold in the Institute, and what was the nature of her previous employment to the Honourable Jean-Pierre Goyer?

6. Is information being exchanged with the US Hudson Institute, the CIA or any other US intelligence agency and, if so, with which agencies?

7. Is the Institute being funded by the US Hudson Institute, the CIA or any other US intelligence agency and, if so, by which body and how much?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/365.

No. 447—*Mr. Cossitt*

What is the complete list of all trips made outside Ottawa since April 20, 1968 by Mr. Michael Pitfield, in whole or in any part at taxpayers' expense, including dates, all details of transportation, the exact purpose, the specific places visited and for how long and the names of others on the same trips?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/447.

No. 570—*Mr. Symes*

1. What were the amounts of money spent by the Minister of State for Science and Technology on publicity and/or information in each of the fiscal years 1972-73 and 1973-74?

2. What were the names and addresses of firms and individuals who received these contracts, what amounts of money were spent in each case and what was the purpose of each contract?

3. In the case of expenditures for publicity and/or information made within the Department by its publicity or information division, what was the amount in each case and the purpose of the expenditure?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/570.

No. 576—*Mr. MacKay*

1. How many reports, studies or surveys are currently under review by the Department of Transport?

2. What are the titles of each of these documents?

3. How long have each of these documents been under review by the Department?

4. How many of these papers are available to the public?

5. What was the cost of preparation of each of these documents?

6. Is there a tentative release date for each of these documents and, if so, on what date?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/576.

No. 709—*Mr. Stevens*

1. In each fiscal year ending March 31, 1972, 1973 and 1974, and for the six month period ending September 30, 1974, what was the aggregate expenditure by the Post Office Department for travel abroad by (a) the Postmaster General and his immediate staff (b) departmental staff (c) the staff of boards, commissions, tribunals, Crown corporations or other similar agencies reporting to the Minister (d) others whose expenses were paid in part or in whole directly or indirectly by the government?

2. In the case of an expenditure in excess of \$200 (a) what was the purpose of the foreign trip (b) what was the furthest destination (c) what is the name of the person(s) who took the trip (d) how long was the person(s) outside the country (e) what was the nature of the expenditure (f) how many others were in the party making the trip?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/709.

*No. 781—*Mr. McCleave*

Has the Canadian Radio-Television Commission a policy to enable Canadians in less populous areas to receive cable television and, if so, what is it?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/781.

No. 1,185—*Mr. Korchinski*

What is the amount available to each constituency under LIP?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/1,185.

Mr. Reid, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Returns to the foregoing Orders.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion of Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton), seconded by Mr. Sharp,—That Bill C-49, An Act to amend the statute law relating to income tax, be now read a second time and referred to a Committee of the Whole.

And on the motion of Mr. Lawrence, seconded by Mr. Baker (Grenville-Carleton), in amendment thereto,—That all the words after "That" be struck out and the following substituted therefor:

"this House declines to give second reading to Bill C-49, An Act to amend the statute law relating to income tax, because it fails to provide for a further 5% reduction in personal income tax in the 1975 and subsequent taxation years despite unprecedented government revenues and the resulting overtaxation by the Government."

And debate continuing;