## CORRESPONDENCE.

THE CANADIAN ACADEMY OF ART.

To the Editor of the Canadian Spectator:

SIR,—Having read the article in your late issue containing strictures upon the scheme for organizing our new Academy, I have been struck by two or three very decided inaccuracies and one misstatement (may I hope arising from ignorance), which I feel called upon to correct. The misstatement I allude to is, that Canadian artists were the movers in the action which induced the Government during the past session to impose a heavy duty on the importation of European art. In this case I am in a position to prove that the very contrary was the course taken by the artists of Ontario, as represented by our Society here. Great exertions were made at that time to bring influence to bear on the Government to admit all works of art of real merit free; and in the matter of water-colours, I may add that we have now a petition before the authorities to get the duty removed. Your writer is evidently not well informed on the subject in hand,—at least, that is the most charitable view I can take of it. Mr. Jacobi, to whom he refers as having retired to his farm, is with us in Toronto, and is to be one of the academicians. He forms, I believe, only one example of several artists formerly resident in Montreal who have been driven out of that city by the supercilious depreciation with which they have been visited. Millard, who is a member of our Society here, and an annual exhibitor, proposes to work heartily with His Excellency's new scheme. I may add that one of the first artists in Montreal is now only "hanging on" in the hope that the new Academy may do something to remove the weight of prejudice heretofore resting upon Canadian art so heavily. One paragraph in the article in question slightly redeems it, though, I fear, not intentionally. It is this:—The writer says he is consoled that the meeting of the Academy will not come to Montreal for five years, and that in that time there is good hope so great an advance will have been made as to make it quite satisfactory. This is, I think, scarcely in accord with the rest. There are several other inaccuracies relative to historical facts with regard to the original founding of foreign academies which time and the fear of trespassing on your space will not allow me to notice.

I am, Sir, respectfully yours,

M. Matthews,

Secretary Canadian Academy of Arts.

#### ART IN MONTREAL.

To the Editor of the CANADIAN SPECTATOR:

SIR,—Mr. Popham, whose distinguished abilities must always command a respectful deference for his opinions, and whose Art attainments never fail to prepossess his readers in favour of his criticisms, has, in his zeal, been betrayed into a rash statement. He writes in your issue of the 10th instant:—Montreal "can boast of larger and more valuable private collections from among the first painters in Europe than that bequeathed by Mr. Gibb to the Art Association: this has been proved over and over again."

tion; this has been proved over and over again."

The phrasing of the sentence is rather ambiguous; but supposing the author of it to mean thereby "that there are in Montreal patrons of Art who possess oil-paintings by first-rate European artists greater in number and superior in quality to those bequeathed by the late Mr. Benaiah Gibb to the Art Association of Montreal, then I say the statement, as far as my knowledge extends, is incredible; but if it should, fortunately, be true, then the fact is not only damaging to the owners of the pictures, but to Mr. Popham to whom was entrusted for a long time the duty of collecting the most meritorious works of Art for the annual exhibition held under the auspices of the Art Association. The owners of these very valuable oil-paintings may properly be accused of selfishness in witholding the productions of the first (i. e., the best) painters in Europe from our exhibitions, and Mr. Popham may be charged with dereliction of his duty for not obtaining the best paintings in Montreal for the exhibition at the "Windsor" in 1878, where His Excellency, the Rt. Hon. Earl Dufferin, was specially solicited to be present; or Mr. Popham may be charged with a want of appreciation of the works of the first painters in Europe.

There are very few European painters, if any, who have made their mark (R. A.) in the British School of Art, that have been honoured by having their works publicly exhibited in Montreal. I have never seen among the collections of our picture buyers, who "appreciate art of a high character," works by such men as Calcott, Collins, Constable, Creswick, Roberts, and Stanfield Senr.; or Cooper, Landseer, and Ward; or Goodhall, Leslie, Maclise, Mulready, Newton,, Uwins and Wilkie; or Armitage, Cope, Eastlake, Frost, Hilton, and Horsley; all of whom have used "brains with their colours."

I would not have challenged any statement made by Mr. Popham in his recent article "A Canadian Academy of Arts," had he not been a member of the Council of the Art Association of Montreal, as in that capacity, his words will, naturally, be supposed authoritative—not in the least degree exaggerated—were it not that strangers to the condition of Art in Montreal may be led to imagine that many of our private collectors possess oil-paintings rivalling those bequeathed to the Nation by Messrs. Vernon and Sheepshanks.

Mr. Popham says: "All undeserved fame is but sarcasm in disguise."

Considering that neither the present nor the past Councillors of the Art Association of Montreal have been the possessors of collections of oil paintings by the best painters in Europe, Mr. Popham is perhaps, unwittingly, sarcastic to his confreres. No connoisseur can conscientiously say that Montreal can boast of larger and more valuable collections of pictures than the one bequeathed by the late Mr. Benaiah Gibb, whose memory and generosity is to be commemorated by a brass plate, as soon as the citizens will subscribe a sufficient amount to defray the casting and engraving thereof. Some men, like Toronto and J. W. G., may write satirically and sarcastically upon this delayed tribute to the memory of the "first benefactor of the Art Association," I simply regret the fact.

Thos. D. King.

# TRADE-FINANCE-STATISTICS.

#### RAILWAY TRAFFIC RECEIPTS.

COMPANY	1880.				1879.	Week's Traffic.		Aggregate.		
COMPANY.	Period.	Pass. Mails & Express		Total.	Total.	Incr'se	Decr'se	Period.	Incr'se	Decr'se
	Week	.8	*	<b>3</b>	*	\$	\$	l	\$	
Grand Trunk	Jan. 17		136,718	177,892	172,326	5,566		3 w'ks	40,414	
Great Western	n g	30,715	69,160	99,875	59,248	40,627		2 "	63,944	
Northern & H.& N.W	" 15		11,155	16,949	16,415	534		2 "	3,641	
Toronto & Nipissing	" 7	1,315	1,525	2,840	1,903	937		1 "	937	
Midland	" 14	1,443	1,483	2,926	2,163	763		2 "	1,714	
St. Lawrence&Ottawa	" 10	1,117	1,190	2,307	1,678	6⊒9 ,		fm Jan, 1	1,165	• • • • •
Whitby, Port Perry &		1								
Lindsay	" 14	550	732	1,282	1,227	55			495	• • • •
Canada Central	7	1,843	1,945	3.788	2,971	317		ı w'ks	817	
Foronto, Grey&Bruce	. 10	2,252	4,502	6,754	3,574	3,180		2	5,773	
Q. M. O. & O	." 15	2,470	1,826	4,296	4,150	146	A2115	2 "	572	• • • •
	Month					[Month]	Month			
Intercolonial,	Nov. 29	46,571	74,052	120,623	121,413		790	5 m'nths		53,964

### BANKS.

BANK.	Shares par value.	Capital Subscribe I,	Capital Paid up	Rest.	Price per \$100 Jan. 21, 1885.	Price per \$100 Jan. 21, 1879.	Two last ½-yearly Dividends.	Equivalent of Dividend, based on price of Stock.
Montreal Ontario. Molsons. Toronto.  Jacques Cartier. Merchants. Eastern Townships. Quebec. Commerce.  Exchange  MISCELLANEOUS.	\$200 40 50 100 25 100 50 100 50	\$12,000,000 3,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 5,000,000 5,798,267 1,469,600 2,500,000 6,000,000	\$11,999,200 2,996,000 1,999,095 2,000,000 5,506,166 1,381,989 2,500,000 6,000,000	\$5,000,000 100,000 100,000 500,000 *250,000 475,000 200,000 425,000 1,400,000 *75,000	\$1361/4 70 74 120 581/4 86 98 	\$135 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> 61 81 115 29 77 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> 90 100 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>	5 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> 6 7 8	7¼ 8½ 8½ 5¾ 7 7¼ 
Montreal Telegraph Co R. & O. N. Co City Passenger Railway New City Gas Co	40 100 50 40	2,000,000 1,565,000 2,000,000	2,000,000 1,565,000 600,000 1,880,000	171,432 163,000	90½ 39 80 115	101 42 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> 78 107	7 4½ 5	734 11 1/2 6 1/2 8 1/4

\*Contingent Fund. †Reconstruction Reserve Fund

#### FAILURES IN 1879.

The figures presented by the Mercantile Agency afford a conclusive proof as to the healthy condition of business. According to the statistics presented in the Annual Circular, it appears that the mercantile failures in the States for 1879 were 6,658 in number, compared with 10,478 in 1878, a decrease of 3,820. But it is in the amount of the liabilities that the decrease is most marked. In 1878 the indebtedness was two hundred and thirty-four millions of dollars, while in 1879 the liabilities were only ninety-eight millions. The following table gives the failures and liabilities since 1872:—

	CAY	NADA.	UNITE	D STATES.	
No. of	Failures.	Am't of Liabilities.	No. of Failures.	Am't of Liabilities.	
1874	966	\$ 7,696. <b>7</b> 65	5,830	\$155,239,000	
1875	1,398	28,843,967	7.719	201, <b>c69</b> ,35 <b>3</b>	
1876	1,728	25,517,991	9,092	191,117,786	
1877		25,523,903	8,872	190,669,936	
1878		23,908,677	10,478	234,383,132	
1879	1,902	29,347,937	5,658	98,149,053	
•	Numbe	r in Business. Perce	ntage of Failures.	Am't of Liabilities.	
United States		702,157	1 in 105	\$98,149,052	
Dominion of Canada		55,964	rin 29	29,347,937	

\*THE FARMERS' DELIVERIES of home-grown Grain in the 150 towns in England and Wales for the week ended December 27th, 1879, and for the corresponding weeks of the previous nine years and the weekly average prices:—

	/W	HEAT	BAF	LEY-	~ <del></del> -0	A1S-
i	Qrs.	Price.	Qrs.	Price.	Qrs.	Price.
	1879	478 rd	68,732	378 11d	4,275	208 10d
	1878	398 9d	66,742	ვ8s ვძ	3,548	208 7d
	1877	518 9d	82,214	438 od	3,778	238 4d
	1876 39,313	50s - 6d	67,576	კ8ა <b>7</b> d	3,470	248 5đ
	1875	438 3d	62,941	348 6d	2,478	248 4d
	1874	445 2d	46,633	448 6d	2,257	298 <b>7</b> d
	1873	615 8d	50,037	448 4d	3,471	258 5d
	1872	56s 4d	58,634	405 4d	5,405	228 7d
ı	1871	● 558 4d	80,106	36s 5d	4,231	225 cd
	1870 57,031	528 3d	59 263	348 11d	4,257	228 5đ
	Average 10 years 43,809	508 5d	64,288	398 3d	3,713	238 7d

\*The receipts of Live Stock at New York for the last four weeks have been as follows:--

	Beeves.	Cows.	Calves,	Sheep,	Swine.
January 12	9,110	243	1,616	26,826	38,418
January 5	11,468	162	1,030	23,223	26,241
December 29	10,619	160	1,135	21,330	23,235
December 22	11,590	289	1,250	29,845	33,874
					-
Total 4 weeks	42,387	854	4,451	ro1,224	121,768
Corresponding 4 weeks 1878	37,781	251	3.375	76,629	161,910
Corresponding week 1879	8,141	69	830	20,491	30,284
Weekly average, 1879	10,933	142	8, و, 2	29,005	33,089
Corresponding week 1878	10,411	94	883	24,728	49,276

<sup>\*</sup>From New\_York Produce Exchange.