

33/6/6119

January 19, 1942.

*Replaces 33/6/5843  
of Dec. 12/41.*

Dear Sir:

I beg to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 2nd ultimo with reference to the survey of Comox Indian Reserve No. 1 and to advise that it is not quite clear what posts you refer to as being in dispute, but it is presumed that the question is in respect to the east boundary.

I enclose herewith copy of the original field notes and plan. It will be observed that there was apparently some trouble with the original survey made on the 29th of November, 1878. As the surveyor did not sign the notes, I am unable to find by whom it was made, other than that a Mr. Green is mentioned in later notations in the book. It seems that the east boundary was chained as 49.50, but this did not check with the distances and bearings of the remaining boundaries. Consequently it was adjusted to 46.11 chains, which distance is shown on the early Departmental plans, which also give the acreage as 155 acres, instead of 148 acres as shown on the plan with the field notes.

Retracement of this Reserve would also involve retracement of the adjoining lots, the surveys of which were made about the same time or earlier, and might prove expensive.

Kindly furnish me with a further report, in triplicate, with triplicate sketches of the Reserve with the boundaries and posts in dispute marked in red. Your report should indicate the boundaries as claimed by the Indians and the value of the land and improvements affected. If

M.S. Todd, Esq.,  
Indian Agent,  
Alert Bay, B.C.

RG 10, C-11-2, vol. 11052  
File/dossier 33/6  
pt 2

INDIAN AFFAIRS  
AFFAIRES INDIENNES

National Archives of Canada  
Archives nationales du Canada

000014